



Tropentag, September 16-18, 2026, hybrid conference

“Towards multi-functional agro-ecosystems
promoting climate resilient futures”

Technical efficiency and profitability of rice ratooning in Africa: Evidence from seven countries

EDGAR TWINE¹, AUGUSTINE OLOO¹, AMINOU AROUNA¹, GAUDIOSE MUJAWAMARIYA², MELANIE CONNOR³, ELLIOTT RONALD DOSSOU-YOVO⁴, ARISTIDE AKPA¹

¹*Africa Rice Center (AfricaRice), Uganda*

²*Africa Rice Center (AfricaRice), Madagascar*

³*International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Kenya*

⁴*Africa Rice Center (AfricaRice), Côte d'Ivoire*

Abstract

Rice consumption in Africa is growing rapidly, yet conventional rice cultivation contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, soil degradation, and wetland loss. Ratooning-harvesting a second crop from stubble of the main crop-offers a potential pathway for sustainable intensification, but evidence under real farm conditions across Africa remains scarce. This study assesses whether rice ratooning improves technical efficiency and profitability among smallholders, using cross-sectional farm household data from 3,276 rice farmers across seven African countries (Senegal, Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria, Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, and Madagascar). We estimate a stochastic frontier production function to derive technical efficiency and inefficiency determinants, and calculate gross margins, average and marginal value-cost ratios. To address self-selection bias, we apply propensity score matching to estimate the causal effect of ratooning on gross margins per hectare. Descriptive results show that ratoon yields range from 34 % to 68 % of main crop yields, while ratoon costs per hectare range from 12 % to 52 % of main crop costs, indicating substantial cross-country heterogeneity. Stochastic frontier estimates reveal that ratooning significantly reduces technical inefficiency in Senegal (-1.985 , $p < 0.05$) but increases inefficiency in Kenya (1.250 , $p < 0.05$) and Tanzania (1.825 , $p < 0.01$). Propensity score matching results show a positive and significant average treatment effect on the treated for Senegal ($+286$ USD ha⁻¹, $p < 0.05$), but negative effects for Kenya (-631 USD ha⁻¹, $p < 0.01$) and Madagascar (-457 USD ha⁻¹, $p < 0.05$). We conclude that rice ratooning is not universally profitable or efficient in Africa; its performance is highly context-specific. Promising use cases exist (e.g., Senegal), but blanket promotion without agronomic support, particularly in East Africa, is unwarranted.

Keywords: Africa, profitability, propensity score matching, rice ratooning, stochastic frontier analysis, technical efficiency