



Tropentag, September 11-13, 2024, hybrid conference

“Exploring opportunities ...  
for managing natural resources and a better life for all”

## Greenhouse gas emissions from traditional livestock enclosures in Kenya and options for mitigation

SONJA LEITNER<sup>1</sup>, YUHAO ZHU<sup>2</sup>, VICTORIA CARBONELL<sup>1</sup>, RANGARIRAYI LUCIA MHINDU<sup>3</sup>, KLAUS BUTTERBACH-BAHL<sup>4</sup>, LUTZ MERBOLD<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Mazingira Centre, Kenya*

<sup>2</sup>*Chinese Academy of Sciences, Inst. of Mountain Hazards and Environment, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Midlands State University, Dept. for Land and Water Resources Manag., Zimbabwe*

<sup>4</sup>*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Inst. of Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research, Germany*

<sup>5</sup>*Agroscope, Research Division Agroecology and Environment, Switzerland*

### Abstract

Livestock in semi-arid pastoral rangelands is often corralled overnight in traditional enclosures (“bomas” or “kraals”) to protect them from theft and predators. Manure is usually not removed from bomas but is left to accumulate, building thick manure layers that are hotspots for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the landscape. Here, we present a full year of measurements of manure CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from cattle bomas in Kenya. We found that GHG flux rates from bomas were elevated by several orders of magnitude compared to background savannah fluxes, with mean fluxes of  $325 \pm 11 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  and  $3245 \pm 234 \mu\text{g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  for active bomas, and  $610 \pm 186 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  and  $3127 \pm 1262 \mu\text{g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  for abandoned bomas, while surrounding savannah soils only emitted  $2.5 \pm 2.2 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  and  $0.1 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ . At the farm scale, boma manure contributed little (2.2%) to total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, which were dominated by enteric CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (97.6%); but bomas were a substantial source for N<sub>2</sub>O, contributing over 32% to total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions on the farm. Annual manure emission factors were  $2.43 \pm 0.42 \text{ \%N}$  for N<sub>2</sub>O and  $0.49 \pm 0.07 \text{ \%C}$  for CH<sub>4</sub>, which corresponds to  $2.64 \pm 0.37 \text{ g CH}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$  volatile solids (VS). However, boma emissions are currently not captured in IPCC inventories because there is no category for them, and countries do not collect activity data for this emissions source. This likely leads to an underestimation of livestock GHG emissions from pastoral rangelands in sub-Saharan Africa. To mitigate boma GHG emissions, we suggest that manure should be removed regularly, or bomas should be relocated every few days/weeks to prevent excessive manure build-up and redistribute nutrients across the landscape. Boma manure can be used as fertiliser to grow crops and livestock feeds, preventing nutrient mining and ensuring rangeland productivity and resilience.

**Keywords:** Boma, kraal, manure, methane, nitrous oxide