

Public expenditure for water facility and road transport infrastructure in Ethiopia: A comparison of impacts using an economy-wide model



abdulazizmosa@gmail.com

Tropentag, September 14-16, 2022, Prague, Czech Republic Abdulaziz A. Mosa¹ ¹ Wolkite University

Introduction

Ethiopian government is investing in a wide range of pro-poor sectors but the economic effects of public investment widely vary across sectors. With a limited public resource, public investment across sectors has to be prioritized based on their potential socio-economic contributions and/or economy-wide benefits.

Objective

The objective of this study is to compare and explore the economy-wide returns of public expenditure on water facility and energy technology (such as improved cooking stoves) on the one hand and public expenditure on road infrastructure on the other hand.

Figure 1: Water Tap Figure 2: Cooking stove Figure 3: Road infrastructure





Material and Methods

The source of data for this study is the 2005/06 updated Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) of Ethiopia. The analysis applies the STAGE Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model developed by McDonald (2007). This study analyses two policy scenarios;

Scenario 1: An increase in the total factor productivity (TFP) of water fetching and firewood collection activities due to public investment on water facility and energy infrastructure

Scenario 2: A decrease in the trade and transport margins due to public investment in road transport infrastructure.

Results and Discussion

Table 1: Simulated changes (%) in domestic production by sectors

Sector	Scen1	Scen2
Agricultural	0.54	0.09
Industry	1.77	0.26
Service	0.13	0.78
Water fetching	18.89	12.53
Firewood	18.84	12.47
collection		
Leisure	2.37	1.64

- Freed labor and less margin increased domestic production
- Domestic production increases in both scenarios
- Production increase in scen1 is higher than scen2

Table 2: Simulated changes (%) in household consumption (QCD)

Commodities	Scen1	Scen2
HPHC food	0.73	0.25
HPHC non-food	3.97	0.58
Market food	0.25	0.16
Market non-food	0.91	1.04

- reallocated other Labor to sectors results in higher income and increase HH consumption.
- makes margins Lower commodities marketed relatively cheaper and hence household consumption increases

Table 3: Real macroeconomic effects (% changes)

Macro indicators	Scen1	Scen2
Investment	0.38	0.96
Import	0.08	0.10
GDP	1.54	0.18
Total domestic		
production	1.35	0.24

Improved water facility, energy and infrastructure road creates economy-wide linkages and positively affects the macroeconomic indicators such as GDP, total domestic production, import, export

Conclusions

- Public investment in water facility and access to improved stoves results relatively higher domestic production in most sectors, larger household consumption, improved household welfare and improves in the macro-economy as compared to public investment in road transport infrastructure.
- It is conducive to explore the potential economic contribution of public expenditure across the different pro-poor sectors before launching public investment in any specific sector.
- This will ensure limited public budgets are appropriately invested in the sector that can bring relatively highest economic-wide benefits to the wider society.













DAAD Agri-Alumni Net