



Weldesemayat Gorems1 and Nandita Ghoshal2

1. Wondo Genet College of Forestry and Natural Resource, Hawassa University,

2. Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, Department of Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India



## 1. INTRODUCTION

\*Soil Microbes

- An important components of terrestrial ecosystems and
- drive many soil services such as
   nutrient cycles and
- maintenance of soil function • involved in mediating global climate change
- Therefore, microbial community and biomass are an early index of land use conversion

### **1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

- Land use change leads loss of biodiversity, change in ecosystem services etc but also affects soil biological and physico-chemical properties

-Land use change significantly influenced soil health and quality

-land-use change affects the community composition in terms of disturbance and ecosystem restoration in the dry tropics has yet not been well-studied

#### **1.2 OBJECTIVES**

Investigate the effect of different landuse types on

- the microbial community in the soil (Activity and biomass)
- · physic-chemical properties of soil

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

Soil sample processing and analysis - Soil samples were collected from four land use types (NF, Natural Forest; BP, Bamboo Plantation; DF, Degraded Forest and AL, Agricultural Land) -From the collected soil sample samples

- Physico-chemical properties

- Soil Microbial Biomass
- Basal Respiration and soil enzyme
  - Soil microbial community were analyzed



3. Results

1. Soil Physico-chemical properties



Figure 2. Comparison of MC, BD, porosity and WHC under different land use types: Natural Forest (NF), Degraded Forest (DF), Bamboo Plantation (BP) and agricultural Land (AL)

Soil aggregates (9/)	Land use type				
Son aggregates (%)	NF	DF	BP	AL	
Macro-aggregates	64.16±2.64ª	46.83±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	51.65±5.4 <sup>b</sup>	42.94±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	8.48
Meso aggregates	25.68±2.48ª	35.16±0.73 <sup>b</sup>	33.10±4.74 <sup>b</sup>	36.39±1.69b	9.28
Micro-aggregates	10.16±1.38ª	18.01±0.96 <sup>b</sup>	15.25±1.86ba	20.66±1.81b	4.65

Table 1. Percentage of distribution of different dry aggregate soil size classes in different land use types.

 Table 2. Soil organic carbon (SOC), soil total nitrogen (STN), Microbial biomass carbon (MBC), Microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) and soil basal respiration (SBR) under different land use types.

Land use type					LSD
	NF	DF	BP	AL	
SOC (%) STN (%)	0.84±0.054 <sup>a</sup> 0.123±0.013 <sup>a</sup>	0.448±0.113 <sup>b</sup> 0.027±0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.72±0.074 <sup>a</sup> 0.033±0.0034 <sup>b</sup>	0.435±0.042 <sup>b</sup> 0.014±0.0016 <sup>b</sup>	0.21
MBC (µg/g)	570.65±35.05ª	233.94±60.36 <sup>b</sup>	479.03±21.48ª	225.59±20.84 <sup>b</sup>	114
MBN (µg/g)	84.21±3.186ª	48.95±2.506 <sup>b</sup>	63.05±4.281°	43.14±1.784 <sup>b</sup>	9.23
SBR (µg CO <sub>2</sub> )	0.77 3.64±0.064 <sup>a</sup>	4.78 2.69±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	7.59 3.37±0.067ª	5.25 2.56±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.29
B <sub>J</sub> glucosidase (µgµg PNP g-1 dry so	il h- 809.68±39.7ª	380.50±17.02°	577.28±84.39 <sup>b</sup>	492.88±58.13°	181.35

Table 3. Correlation matrix for physical, chemical, and microbiological characteristics of soils from different land uses.

Soil variable	SBR	SOC	MBC	B-glucosidase	STN	MBN
SOC	.997**	1				
MBC	.997**	1.000**	1			
B-glucosidase	0.877	0.91	0.903	1		
STN	0.815	0.828	0.811	0.901	1	
MBN MC PO WHC BD MA	.960* 0.583 0.758 0.808 -0.776 0.926	.963* 0.548 0.703 0.76 -0.722 0.929	.955* 0.571 0.703 0.756 -0.722 0.918	$\begin{array}{c} 0.924 \\ 0.205 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.499 \\ -0.423 \\ 0.909 \end{array}$	0.944 0.01 0.555 0.66 -0.568 .970*	1 0.34 0.712 0.789 -0.727 .994**
ME MI	-0.89 951*	-0.901 -0.946	-0.887 -0.937	-0.929 -0.876	989* -0.936	982* 994**

Table	3	Continued
-------	---	-----------

Soil variable	мс	РО	WHC	BD	МА	ME	
SOC MBC							
<i>B-glucosidase</i> STN							
MBN							
MC	1						
PO	0.615	1					
WHC	0.547	.991**	1				
BD	-0.624	-1.000**	992**	1			
MA ME MI	0.251 -0.154 -0.35	0.703 -0.623 -0.778	0.787 -0.718 -0.848	-0.717 0.637 0.79	1 994** 993**	1 .973*	

Note: HBD, bulk density; WHC, water holding capacity; SOC, soil organic carbon; STN, total nitrogen; MBC, microbial biomass carbon; SBR, soil basal respiration; MBN, microbial biomass nitrogen; PO, porosity; MA, macro aggregates; ME, meso aggregates; MI, micro aggregates

Table 4. The amount of total phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs), bacterial, Gram-positive bacterial, Gram-negative
bacterial, and fungal PLFAs (mg/g DW) under four land uses.

	NF	BP	DF	AL
G-	37.43±2.21ª	22.51±1.75 <sup>d</sup>	15.78±0.85 <sup>b</sup>	5.29±0.477°
G+	15.61±1.25ª	25.17±2.02 <sup>c</sup>	30.64±0.82 <sup>b</sup>	39.4±3.73°
Fungi	20.49±1.24 <sup>a</sup>	15.55±1.14 <sup>c</sup>	10.96±0.86 <sup>b</sup>	8.06±0.59 <sup>b</sup>
Total	73.55	63.23	57.38	52.75
G⁺/G⁻	0.42	1.12	1.94	7.44
F/B	0.386	0.326	0.236	0.18

## 4. CONCLUSION

• The natural forest had high microbial diversity followed by in decreasing order bamboo plantation, degraded forest and agricultural land.

•The result of this study showed that soil physico-chemical and microbial properties were significantly affected by land use types.

•Thus bamboo based fallow has the potential for improving soil quality and properties in the short term.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are greatly indebted to the NAM S&T Centre of India for providing us with all the necessary financial support to undertake the study. We would like to extend our gratitude to the Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, India for their generous support at different stages of the study period.