

# Effect of integrated pest and pollinator management (IPPM) training on knowledge, perceptions and livelihoods of avocado farmers in Kenya

Beatrice Muriithi, Thomas Dubois (tdubois@icipe.org), Leonard Kirui, H. Michael G. Lattorff, Samira A Mohamed, Michael Kidoido, Menale Kassie

# INTRODUCTION

- Avocado is an important fruit crop in Kenya, grown by smallholder farmers for nutrition and income.
- The oriental fruit fly Bactrocera dorsalis and the false codling moth *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* are major pests, controlled by harmful synthetic pesticides.
- Avocado is highly pollination-dependent. Use of synthetic pesticides also reduces non-target organisms like pollinators.
- Integrated pest and pollinator management (IPPM) integrates integrated pest management (IPM) with pollination and other ecosystem services.

# RESULTS

- IPM farmers improved their attitude towards avocado pests, pollinators and IPPM compared to farmers using conventional methods.
- IPPM farmers improved their practices against pests compared to farmers using conventional methods.
- IPM and PS farmers did not improve the proportion of income from avocado, but IPPM farmers did.
- IPM, PS and IPPM farmers who received training and had good knowledge of these technologies were more likely to demand or adopt these technologies. P < 0.1





oriental fruit flv Bactrocera dorsalis





socio-economic impact?

**IPPM** 



pollination services

### **OBJECTIVE**

haumatotibia leucotreta

To assess the impact of IPPM among smallholder avocado growers in Kenya.

## **METHODS**





#### DiD model estimates of impact of IPM, PS and IPPM on selected outcomes.

	Knowledge	Attitude score	Practice score	Number of	Perceived	Expenditure	Annual income
	score (%)	(%)	(%)	avocado trees	avocado	on pesticides	from avocado
					losses due to	(KShs)	(%)
					pests (%)		
Follow-up	40.75	6.25	42.27	10.25	0.60	43.46	6.19
IPM	14.72	9.59	4.58	7.89	4.21	23.13	5.77
IPM  imes follow-up	-2.03	5.44	-0.95	6.31	-1.77	12.13	-6.37
PS	13.00	13.52	6.77	20.12	3.55	32.63	8.36
$PS \times follow-up$	4.05	0.87	-1.82	0.30	-0.27	-108.54	-2.57
IPPM	16.54	13.24	6.81	13.32	3.70	48.13	4.93
$IPPM \times follow-up$	0.01	3.19	5.68	12.58	2.11	96.07	9.64
Gender (household head)	2.51	5.60	4.33	12.95	1.12	109.54	1.25
Age (household head)	-0.03	-0.19	0.01	-0.01	0.04	-3.42	0.13
Household size	0.34	-0.27	0.40	-0.94	0.28	12.96	-0.59
Participation in non-	-2.06	-1.97	-0.09	-3.78	2.78	-35.69	-2.93
agricultural businesses							
Total land cultivated	1.55	1.14	2.84	24.99	-1.04	66.22	0.42
Total land under avocado	-1.29	0.54	-1.22	3.00	1.19	7.95	-0.53
Avocado farming	0.03	0.02	-0.01	-0.33	-0.00	0.82	0.06
experience							
Distance to the nearest	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.03	-0.01	-0.16	-0.05
output market							
Received any training in	10.08	7.67	9.17	2.87	-1.08	68.20	8.01
the last 2 years							

Multinomial logit model showing average marginal effects (AME) on factors associated with the use of IPM, PS and IPPM.



vegetation classes in Muranga County, Kenya

# baseline: February 2019 (2018 season), using pre-tested





PM, PS, IPPM								
	IPMX	IPM+						
beehives 🗙	control	IPM						
beehives 🕂	pollination	IPPM						

interventions: control,

service (PS)

# structured closed-ended questionnaires

	IPM	PS	IPPM
Gender of household head	0.012	0.068	0.039
Age of household head	0.001	0.001	-0.005
Household size in adult equivalent	-0.021	-0.022	0.025
Participation in non-agricultural business	-0.003	-0.001	0.018
Total land cultivated (hectares)	-0.035	-0.002	0.104
Total land under avocado (hectares)	0.044	0.013	-0.000
Avocado farming experience (years)	-0.001	-0.006	0.006
Distance to the nearest output market	-0.001	-0.001	0.001
Received any training in the last two years	0.136	-0.049	0.057

## CONCLUSION

Knowledge of IPPM

Training of farmers can be used as a strategy to upscale IPPM or their component technologies.

-0.116

0.077\*

0.100

• this study recommends the integration of IPM with PS, and the promotion of IPPM, to achieve greater impact on productivity of smallholder avocado production systems and farmer livelihoods in sub-Saharan Africa.

## REFERENCES

Adan et al 2021. Use of earth observation satellite data to guide the implementation of integrated pest and pollinator management (IPPM) technologies in an avocado production system. Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment. • Sagwe et al 2022. Pollinator efficiency of avocado (*Persea americana*) flower insect visitors. *Ecological Solutions and* Evidence. • Sagwe et al 2021. Pollinator supplementation mitigates pollination deficits in smallholder avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) production systems in Kenya. Basic and Applied Ecology. Toukem et al 2022. Interactions between integrated pest management, pollinator introduction, and landscape context on avocado Persea americana productivity. Entomologia Generalis. • Wangithi et al 2022. Synergies of integrated pest and pollinator management in avocado farming in East Africa: an exante economic analysis. PLOS One.

### training: in IPM, PS, IPM+PS (IPPM)









endline: July 2021 (2020 season)

### analysis: difference-in-difference (DiD) and multinomial logistic regression models



International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology P.O. Box 30772-00100, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: +254 (20) 8632000.

E-mail: <u>icipe@icipe.org</u>

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work received financial support from the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) commissioned and administered through the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) Fund for International Agricultural Research (FIA), grant number 17.7860.4–001; the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation, the Section for Research, Innovation and Higher Education, grant number RAF-3058 KEN-18/0005; the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida); the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC); the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia; and the Government of the Republic of Kenya. The views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the donors. The authors wish to thank the enumerators and survey supervisors for their effort in data collection, and the avocado growers in Muranga County for their time and invaluable information for this study.

#### www.icipe.org