Agroecological practices and drivers of adoption by arable crop farmers, Cross River State, Nigeria



Hilda Chia Eta¹, Ruth Tariebi S. Ofongo², Mary-Jane K Okang¹
1 University of Calabar, Dept. of agricultural extension and rural sociology, Nigeria; 2 Niger Delta University, Dept. of Animal
Science, Nigeria.

Introduction

 Global food production is plagued by a myriad of challenges like climate change, population explosion, and food quality.



Methods

- The study employed a survey design.
- Multistage sampling procedure was used to select 120 arable crop farmers in the three agricultural zones (Ogoja, Ikom and Calabar) that make up Cross River State.

- Agroecological farming is gaining recognition as one of the solutions to these challenges.
- However, the rate at which agroecological practices are adopted has remained low.

Results

- Improved crop yields (MS=4.89); locally available inputs (MS=4.78), and it helps cope with change adaptation (MS=4.77) were ranked as the first three drivers of adoption of agroecological practices (Table 1).
- Efficient water resource use (MS=2.46) and reduced CO_2 emissions (MS=2.17) were the least drivers (Table 1)

Cassava planted under oil palm trees



Use of cover crop in cassava farm



 Farmers use different agroecological practices for food crop production but rate of adoption of certain practices is low

 Binary logistic regression was used to test the effect of farmers' selected socio-economic characteristics on adoption of agroecological practices



Table 1: Perceived drivers of adoption ofagroecological practices

D	rivers	Mean score (MS)	SD	Rank
Productivity drivers				
•	Boosts crop yields	4.89	0.18	1st
•	Healthy food quality	4.38	0.17	8th
•	Healthy environment	3.75	0.16	14th
•	Improved soil fertility/health	4.50	0.18	6th
•	Sufficient local food varieties	4.71	0.18	4th
•	Helps cope with climate change	4.77	0.18	3rd
•	Efficient water resource use	2.46	0.15	17th
•	Reduced CO₂ emissions	2.17	0.18	18th
•	Generation/exchange of local knowledge and innovation	3.85	0.16	13th
Profitability drivers				

 Adoption of agroecological practices is driven mainly by productivity factors like improved crop yields, need for adaptation to climate change, and desire for local food varieties.

 Farmers face enormous challenges constraining use of these practices

 Main agroecological practices intercropping adopted were: (100%), practices green manure (95.8%), scarecrows/traps (95%) cropping practices and cover (93.3%) (Fig. 1).

 Increased demand for food (MS=4.79), lack of incentives (MS=4.7), limited awareness and knowledge on agroecological practices (MS=4.66) were ranked the top 3 constraints to the use of agroecological practices (Table 2). No/superficial tillage 5.8

Percentage adoption

Fig. 1: Agroecological practices and adoption

Table 2: Constraints to use of agroecological practices				
Constraints	Mean Score	SD	Rank	
Climate change constraints	4.65	0.17	4th	
Lack of training on use of practices	3.93	0.15	13th	
Cultural and religious beliefs	3.32	0.14	15th	
 Government policies that promote use of chemicals 	3.89	0.15	14th	
 Government policies that promote use 	4.17	0.16	9th	

Management of harvesting 4.41 0.18 7th activities via selection, breeding for next season

Improved farmers' income	4.31	0.17 10th	
 Preservation of farmers' livelihoods 	4.15	0.17 12th	
 Conservation of soil resources 	4.33	0.18 9th	
 Diversification of income sources 	4.56	0.18 5th	
Little financial investment	3.53	0.16 15th	
Affordability drivers			
Relatively affordable inputs	4.18	0.17 11th	
Inputs are locally available	4.78	0.17 2nd	

• Available extension services 3.05 0.17 16th

Results of the binary logistic regression showed that Sex (p = 0.032) and extension agent contact (p = 0.027) had a significant positive effect on the adoption of agroecological practices at p = 0.05.

of hybrid	planting	materials
-----------	----------	-----------

•	Weak involvement of government and NGOs in agroecological programmes	4.21	0.16	8th
•	Lack of incentives to farmers	4.7	0.17	2nd
•	Limited farmers' awareness and knowledge on agroecological practices	4.66	0.17	3rd
•	Little technical knowhow/skills on use of agroecological practices	4.28	0.16	7th
•	Inconsistent government policies on agricultural biodiversity conservation	4.15	0.16	10th
•	Unsecured land tenure systems	4.02	0.15	11th
•	Inadequate extension services provision	4.32	0.16	6th
•	Lack of interest by farmers	4.54	0.16	5th
•	Increased demand for food and raw materials	4.79	0.17	1st
•	It is risky trying out practices that I am not familiar with	4.01	0.16	12th



Tropentag, 14-16 September 2022, hybrid conference "Can agroecological farming feed the world? Farmers' and academia's views"