



Tropentag, September 14-16, 2022, hybrid conference

“Can agroecological farming feed the world?  
Farmers’ and academia’s views”

## Seasonal GHG emissions from rice production in the mekong delta depend on water management and varietal selection

THI BACH THUONG VO<sup>1</sup>, REINER WASSMANN<sup>2</sup>, BJOERN OLE SANDER<sup>3</sup>, FOLKARD ASCH<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Hohenheim, Inst. of Agric. Sci. in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute), Germany

<sup>2</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

<sup>3</sup>International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Vietnam Office, Viet Nam

<sup>4</sup>University of Hohenheim, Inst. of Agric. Sci. in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute), Germany

### Abstract

In Vietnam rice is produced on 7.7 million ha making Vietnam the world’s 6<sup>th</sup> largest rice producer. The Mekong River Delta (MRD) comprises lowland rice with providing 55 % of all Vietnamese rice production. Lowland rice production is a source of greenhouse gases (GHG) due to emissions of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and – to a lesser extent nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O). Since rice production accounts for 15 % of the national GHG emissions, Vietnam aims at reducing GHG emissions from rice production by changing farming practices. The impact of selecting different rice varieties, however, is still poorly understood. A 2-year field experiment has been conducted in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, in the early-year seasons of 2020 and 2021 using the closed chamber method to 1) quantify the baseline emissions of 20 selected rice varieties under typical growing conditions; 2) assess interactive impacts of varieties and two different water management practices: Continuous Flooding (CF) and Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD); and 3) to compare these field emissions against the GHG estimates in the National Communications (IPCC Tier 2 approach). The results confirm pronounced differences between CF and AWD in terms of CH<sub>4</sub> emission whereas N<sub>2</sub>O emission are generally low (< 3 % of GWP). Across all varieties, the reduction potential of AWD was above the IPCC default (45 %), ranging from 59 % and 62 % in seasons 1 and 2, respectively. Thus, in dry seasons that allow control of water tables in the fields, water management determines the magnitude of GHG; under flooded conditions i.e. in the rainy seasons, however, variety selection modulates these emissions within a range of ±16 %, and can thus be regarded either as an additional measure to maximise the AWD effect during the dry season or as a mitigation option in locations or seasons where AWD is not possible.

**Keywords:** Alternate-wetting-and-drying, IPCC Tier 2, methane