



Tropentag 2022  
September 14-16, 2022

Conference on International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource  
Management and Rural Development  
organised by the Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

---

## **Participatory Research for Agronomic Salinity Management – Experiences from Coastal Peri-Urban Vegetable Production in Maputo, Mozambique**

Jakob Herrmann<sup>a</sup>, Matias Siueia Júnior<sup>b</sup>, Alberto Luis<sup>c</sup> and Sebastião Famba<sup>d</sup>

a Weltweit - Association for the Promotion of Local Initiatives e.V., Bad Soden, Germany, [jakob@welt-weit.org](mailto:jakob@welt-weit.org)

b Municipal Council Maputo, Department for Agriculture and Extension, Maputo, Mozambique

c ABIODES - Association for Sustainable Development, Maputo, Mozambique

d University Eduardo Mondlane, Faculty of Agronomy and Forestry Engineering, Maputo, Mozambique

### **Introduction**

Salinisation of agricultural soil resources is an ever-increasing problem for global sustainable food production. The concept of Saline Agriculture (SA) provides a versatile toolbox of agricultural practices which have the potential to sustain agricultural production under saline conditions and partly even reverse salinisation through soil remediation processes. SA combines diverse soil, water and crop management approaches which intend to improve soil health parameters, in order to minimise salinity levels within the crops' root zone and/or mitigate salinity stress for the plants. Equally important is the access to practicable soil and water assessment tools in order to guarantee a correct categorization and monitoring of the specific salinity level (FAO 2022). The successful management of salinity is highly context specific and needs to consider local agro-ecological and socio-economic particularities. This makes multidisciplinary and participatory SA technology development relevant. Maputo's peri-urban coastal vegetable production zones in southern Mozambique provide an interesting case study, given that SA approaches for smallholder vegetable production systems in (sub-)tropical environments are poorly developed (Herrmann 2019). Addressing this knowledge gap, we – a consortium of research institutions, agricultural extension bodies and non-governmental organisations – are implementing an applied research project on salinity management in collaboration with vegetable farmer associations of Maputo. Within this publication we share preliminary technical insights along with reflections on the participatory methodology of the project, in order to provide impulses for further research and development initiatives on SA.

### **Material and Methods**

The project's activities are implemented in the vegetable production area of the district of KaMavota, one of Maputo's so called *Green Zones*, located on a vast coastal plain. Here, over 8.000 vegetable producers farm on more than 900 ha agricultural land (Schmidt 2017). Leafy vegetables constitute the predominant crop group (Smart et al. 2016). Maputo lies within a tropical savannah climate, being characterized by a clear seasonality (warm/wet-season between November-March, cool/dry-season between April-October) and an average annual rainfall of 800 mm (Bacci 2014). Dark clayey wetland soils (Calcaric/Eutric Fluvisols, Gelyic Solonetztes) are predominant. Soil salinity and sodicity are historic problems in the project region, based on the occurrence of saline sediments and progressing seawater intrusion (Eschweiler 1986, Matsinhe et al. 2008). These phenomena manifest themselves in the form of visible soil degradation as well as

compromised crop production (Herrmann 2019). The project follows a sequential mixed-methods approach, organized in three working packages:

(1) Appraisal of Local Salinity Knowledge: Between April and July 2018 we conducted stakeholder interviews (farmers, extension workers, technical experts from local government, science and NGOs) and field observations, following a purposive sampling approach (N = 31). The collected data was analysed qualitatively (deductive and inductive coding), in order to map out local perception and management of soil salinity.

(2) Approaches to Salinity Evaluation and Monitoring: We conducted a participatory mapping workshop with farmer representatives in July 2018. Participants were asked to define zones of differing soil salinity level and to draw them on transparencies overlaid on an aerial photograph of the study area. In order to compare farmers' categorization with standard salinity parameters we conducted a systematic grid-based sampling (200 x 200 m on 135 ha) of composite soil samples (0-20 cm soil layer) and simple samples from adjacent irrigation water sources. Since November 2020, we piloted portable soil and water sensor equipment (STEP Systems COMBI 5000, HANNA Instruments HI993310 / HI98192), and calibrated it against standard salinity parameters. Laboratory analyses were conducted at the soil and water laboratory of the University Eduardo Mondlane (UEM), Maputo (Wijnhoud 1997). Spatial analysis and visualization of the data was realized with QGIS Version 2.18.11 (QGIS Development Team, 2016). All statistical tests and data visualizations were performed using the RStudio environment (version 4.1.1, R Core Team 2021).

(3) Field Trials of Saline Agriculture Approaches: Following the socio-ecological niche concept (Ojiem et al. 2006), we identified promising SA practices from literature for further assessment. We conducted successive participatory field trials throughout the cropping seasons of 2021 and 2022, comparing different soil improvement strategies, including local conventional (different combinations of chicken manure, NPK and urea applications) and innovative SA approaches (plant-based composts, manure-based biofertilizers, biochar). Collard greens (*Brassica oleracea* var. *costata* 'Tronchuda') and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* 'Great Lakes') constituted the research crops. We applied a randomized complete block design. The trial plots are located at three farmer fields with different salinity/sodicity levels (Table 1). We monitored key soil, water and crop parameters during crop growth, along with yield parameters at harvest. Laboratory analyses were conducted at UEM (Wijnhoud 1997). Regular Farmer Field School sessions were aligned with the trial.

**Table 1:** Initial soil texture and salinity/sodicity characterization of the trial's experimental sites at 0-20 cm soil layer; where  $EC_{1:2.5}$  = electrical conductivity in 1:2.5 soil water suspension,  $EC_e$  = electrical conductivity of the saturated soil paste extract (calculated from  $EC_{1:2.5}$  based on soil texture class, according to Wijnhoud 1997), ESP = exchangeable sodium percentage, and  $pH_{1:2.5}$  = pH in 1:2.5 soil water suspension.

Site	Soil Texture	$EC_{1:2.5}$	$EC_e$	ESP	$pH_{1:2.5}$
1	sandy loam	0.53	2.39	4.57	8.9
2	sand clay loam	1.21	3.02	20.75	9.0
3	sandy loam	0.72	3.24	30.03	9.6

## Results and Discussion

(1) Appraisal of Local Salinity Knowledge: Our stakeholder interviews and field observations revealed that farmers use a variety of sensory indicators of salinity, including primarily plant symptoms, salt crusts, tasting of soil and water, and indicator plants. Furthermore, farmers acknowledge and comprehensively explain the complex dynamics of salinity along spatial and temporal gradients, e.g. seasonal fluctuations in salinity levels, or the gradual multi-annual salinization processes along deficient drainage channels. In order to cope with the experienced constraints, local farmers have developed a variety of agronomic strategies, understood to

mitigate the negative effects of salinity. Most commonly practiced are increased chicken manure applications, the incorporation of plant organic matter, and the use of tolerant crop species such as beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. *rapacea* var. *conditiva*). The findings of the present research compare well with other reported case studies on salt-affected smallholder agricultural production systems from around the world, which equally highlight the predominance of tacit salinity knowledge, the importance of sensory salinity indicators, and the existence of locally evolved simple SA techniques (Herrmann 2019). Nonetheless, we identified knowledge gaps and potential entry points for innovative SA approaches. The latter include animal manures, plant-based composts, biochar, manure-based liquid biofertilizer formulations, slow-release urea, tolerant crop species/cultivars, and green manuring with *Sesbania* spp.

(2) Approaches to Salinity Evaluation and Monitoring: Within the participatory mapping workshop, farmers defined five soil salinity categories. They are based on the perceived severity of soil salinity and the respective impacts on crop production: (a) ‘non-saline’, (b) ‘slightly saline’ (25-50% yield loss), (c) ‘saline’ (50-75% yield loss), (d) ‘too saline for crop production’ (75-100% yield loss), (e) ‘highly saline’. Spatially they have been described as distinctive consecutive strips following a NW-SE orientation within the study area. Local farmers’ spatial salinity categorizations compared well with standard soil and water measurements, especially at higher salinity levels. On a global scale, measured  $EC_e$  (0-20 cm) values ranged from 0.23 to 17.99  $dS\ m^{-1}$ , with a mean of 3.82  $dS\ m^{-1}$ .  $EC_w$  values varied between 1.01 to 8.75  $dS\ m^{-1}$ , with a mean of 2.58  $dS\ m^{-1}$ . ANOVA and Fisher’s LSD test confirmed farmer categories c, d and e as statistically distinctive entities based on either  $EC_e$  or  $EC_w$  measurements; while a differentiation between categories a and b couldn’t be substantiated (Herrmann 2019). Local salinity assessment thus proved effective as a tentative proxy-indicator for salinity levels. Nonetheless, scientific-based salinity assessment should complementarily inform salinity management decision making, in order to improve accuracy. Portable soil and water sensor equipment provides a cost-effective tool for this requirement. However, in some cases, locality-specific correlations between the respective equipment-provided (e.g. AM) and standard salinity parameters (EC) are required (Shahid 2013).

(3) Field Trials of Saline Agriculture Approaches: Conclusive data analysis of the project’s field trials is still pending, and thus are locally proven SA management recommendations. In terms of methodology, situating the scientific field trial in farmers’ plots and aligning them with Farmer Field Schools proved to be a viable participatory approach. Farmers strongly informed initial trial design and supported monitoring. Especially the Farmer Field School sessions provided an active platform for continuous experience exchange and feedback loops between all stakeholders, and thus increased farmers’ ownership. However, the participatory trial setup partly compromised scientific accuracy, due to challenges especially in guaranteeing synchronized management between trial plots, and preventing external disruptive factors such as theft of crops etc. These shortcomings are a general phenomenon in participatory on-farm research, and are specifically relevant for the investigation of biophysical responses. They might be addressed by a more restrictive/researcher-centred trial design (Franzel and Coe 2002).

## **Conclusions and Outlook**

In the face of global climate change and increasing human natural resource use, the sustainable and context-specific management of soil salinity in agricultural systems becomes ever more relevant. The present study presents preliminary findings of a SA pilot project in a smallholder vegetable production system in southern Mozambique, providing reference points for ongoing and future initiatives in similar contexts. It has been demonstrated that (i) farmers have a considerable (tacit) knowledge level on salinity management, which can guide local SA research and development, (ii) local farmers’ salinity assessment, complemented with portable sensor equipment can meaningfully inform agricultural extension advice and land-use decision making

in a cost-effective manner, and (iii) innovative SA practices have the potential to be sustainably introduced into the local production system. Field trials and demonstrations which test/showcase the latter, require a conducive environment, which equally allows for stakeholder participation and (scientific) comparability between experimental units. We intent to further advance SA research in southern Mozambique, applying refined trial designs.

## References

1. Bacci M (2014) Climate Change Hazard Identification in the Maputo Area. In: Macchi S, Tiepolo M (eds) *Climate Change Vulnerability in Southern African Cities: Building Knowledge for Adaptation*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 143–154.
2. Eschweiler JA (1986) *As Possibilidades para Agricultura de uma Parte da Baixa Costeira. (Distrito de Maputo): Parte A: Aspectos Pedologicos - A Terra e sua Aptidao Agricola*, INIA, Maputo.
3. FAO (2022) Halt soil salinization, boost soil productivity – Proceedings of the Global Symposium on Salt-affected Soils. 20–22 October 2021. Rome.
4. Franzel S, Coe R (2002) Participatory On-Farm Technology Testing: The Suitability of Different Types of Trials for Different Objectives. In: Bellon MR, Reeves J (ed) *Quantitative Analysis of Data from Participatory Methods in Plant Breeding*. CIMMYT, Mexico, pp 1–7.
5. Herrmann J (2019) Soil salinity and its effects on the coastal peri-urban vegetable production system of Maputo, Mozambique. Master Thesis, Bonn University, Germany.
6. Matsinhe NP, JuízoI D, Rietveld LC, Persson KM (2008) Water services with independent providers in peri-urban Maputo: Challenges and opportunities for long-term development. *Water SA* 34:411–420.
7. Ojiem JO, de Ridder N, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE (2006) Socio-ecological niche: A conceptual framework for integration of legumes in smallholder farming systems. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability* 4:79–93.
8. Schmidt M (2017) Status quo and value chains of urban agricultural activities in Maputo: Analysis of vegetable and poultry value chains, SLE, Berlin.
9. Shahid SA (2013) Developments in Soil Salinity Assessment, Modeling, Mapping, and Monitoring from Regional to Submicroscopic Scales. In: Shahid SA, Abdelfattah MA, Taha FK (ed) *Developments in Soil Salinity Assessment and Reclamation – Innovative Thinking and Use of Marginal Soil and Water Resources in Irrigated Agriculture*. Springer Dordrecht, pp 3–43.
10. Smart JC, Cachomba IS, Tschirley D (2016) Input Use, Input Purchase Channels, Sale Channels and other General Characteristics of the Horticultural Producers of Maputo. In: Smart JC (ed) *Special Issue Collection of Short Papers Focused on Horticultural Production in the Areas Supplying Maputo*. Mozambique: Directorate of Economics, Ministry of Agriculture, Maputo, pp 19–29.
11. Wijnhoud JD (1997) *Manual dos Métodos de Análise Química e Física de Solos e Apresentação e Interpretação das Análises Laboratoriais*. Série Terra e Água. Instituto de Investigação Agrária de Moçambique (IIAM), Maputo.

## Acknowledgements

This work was principally realized in the context of the project SaliHort (Piloting Strategies to Mitigate Impacts of Salinity in Horticultural Systems of Mozambique), funded by The Conservation, Food & Health Foundation, Development Cooperation of the German Federal State of Hesse, Stiftung Ursula Merz, and Hand-in-Hand-Fonds (<https://welt-weit.org>). Prior research phases were funded by Stiftung für Tropische Agrarforschung (Berlin) and supported by the project UFiSAMo (<https://www.sle-berlin.de/index.php/en/research/completed-research-projects/ufisamo>).