

# Effect of Biochar and Legume Biomass on *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* Growth Parameters in Benin



A. B. Nambima <sup>1,2</sup>; R. V. C. Diogo<sup>1\*</sup>; T. D. Houehanou<sup>2</sup>; B. Paul<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Parakou, Faculty of Agronomy, Integrated Production Systems Innovation Lab and Sustainable Land Management (InSPIREs-SLM), PO Box 123 Parakou, Benin, rodrigue.diogo@fa-up.bi\*

<sup>2</sup> University of Parakou, Faculty of Agronomy, Laboratory of Ecology, Botany and Plant Biology, University of Parakou, Benin, <u>http://leb-up.org/</u>

<sup>3</sup> International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Nairobi, Kenya, <u>b.paul@cgiar.org</u>

#### Introduction

- Livestock plays a major role in nutrition security, people's welfare, and resources conservation and management.
- In Benin, due to forage scarcity particularly during the dry months, meat and milk production are consistently declining.
- Therefore, improving forage quality and productivity through the use of biochar and green manure of *Gliricidia sepium* and *Mucuna pruriens* is key for boosting livestock production in Benin and at the same time conserving soils.



Fig.1. Mucuna pruriens, b- Gliricidia Sepium, c. Brachiaria brizantha; d- Biochar.

Table1: Effect of the different treatments on the growth parameters and biomass of *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* 

reatment	Height (cm)	Number of leaves	internodes	Total biomass (t DM/ha)		
то	47.1 ± 17.71 <sup>d</sup>	13.3 ± 4,69 <sup>b</sup>	3.80 ± 2.57 <sup>b</sup>	3.9 ± 1.80°		
T1	57.1 ± 8.58 <sup>cd</sup>	$15.6 \pm 5.64^{b}$	6.1 ± 1.92 <sup>ab</sup>	7.1 ± 2.15 <sup>bc</sup>		
T2	69.5 ± 10.3 <sup>c</sup>	16.9 ± 4.77 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 ± 1.25 <sup>ab</sup>	6.7 ± 1.41 <sup>bc</sup>		
Т3	86.3 ± 8.70 <sup>b</sup>	$18.3\pm5.08^{ab}$	$6.4 \pm 1.06^{ab}$	13.6 ± 1,80 <sup>ab</sup>		
T4	60.9 ± 11.50°	15.5 ± 4.67 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 ± 1.53 <sup>ab</sup>	8.4 ± 0.21 <sup>bc</sup>		
Т5	79.3 ± 14.25 <sup>b</sup>	16.5 ± 4.21 <sup>b</sup>	6.5 ± 1.25 <sup>ab</sup>	$11.6 \pm 0.79^{b}$		
Т6	82.7 ± 13.13 <sup>b</sup>	17.5 ± 4.70 <sup>ab</sup>	6.1 ± 1.62 <sup>ab</sup>	12.1 ± 1.86 <sup>b</sup>		
T7	103.8 ± 17.12ª	22.9 ± 5.82ª	7.5 ± 1.19ª	20.3 ± 5.65ª		

Treatment	Leaf width (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Number of tiller	Number of secondary roots
то	1.9 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	31.8 ± 7.69 <sup>bc</sup>	1.2 ± 1.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.4 ± 1.83 <sup>b</sup>
T1	$2.0\pm0.14^{b}$	26.1 ± 6.31 <sup>c</sup>	1.7 ± 1.62 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.7 \pm 2.13^{ab}$
Т2	$1.9 \pm 0.18^{b}$	$35.0 \pm 8.7^{ab}$	1.7 ± 1.35 <sup>ab</sup>	1.7 ± 1.85 <sup>ab</sup>
Т3	2.3 ±0.20ª	$38.8 \pm 4,40^{a}$	$2.7 \pm 1.11^{ab}$	$3.0 \pm 1.56^{ab}$
Т4	$1.9\pm0.26^{b}$	28.9 ± 6,35 <sup>bc</sup>	1.7 ±1.44 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.6 \pm 2.13^{ab}$
Т5	$2.1\pm0.18^{\text{ab}}$	33.7 ± 3.85 <sup>ab</sup>	2.7 ± 1.44ª	$3.1 \pm 1.16^{\text{ab}}$
Т6	$2.1\pm0.23^{ab}$	39.0 ± 4.08ª	$2.3 \pm 1.16^{ab}$	2.5 ± 1.51 <sup>ab</sup>
T7	2.3 ± 0.29ª	$40.6 \pm 6.16^{\circ}$	$3.1 \pm 1.44^{a}$	3.8 ± 1.57ª

The means in the same column followed by different letters differ significantly at the 5% level. T0: *Brachiaria* alone; T1: Biomass of *Mucuna* 2 t/ha; T2: Biomass of *Gliricidia* 2 t/ha; T3: Mixture of the two legumes at 2 t/ha (1 t/ha *Mucuna* + 1 t/ha Gliricidia); T4: 300 kg dry matter (DM)/ha biochar; T5: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 t/ha *Mucuna* ; T6: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 kg/ha *Gliricidia*; T7: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 t/ha (1 t/ha *Mucuna* + 1 t/ha *Gliricidia*).

### Materials and methods

- The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design consisting of three blocks, each containing eight experimental treatments:
- T0: Brachiaria alone; T1: Biomass of Mucuna 2 t/ha; T2: Biomass of Gliricidia 2 t/ha; T3: Mixture of the two legumes at 2 t/ha (1 t/ha each); T4: 300 kg dry matter (DM)/ha biochar; T5: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 t/ha Mucuna ; T6: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 kg/ha Gliricidia; T7: 60 kg DM/ha biochar + 2 t/ha (1 t/ha Mucuna + 1 t/ha Gliricidia).
- Plant height, total biomass, leaf length and width, internodes, secondary roots, and tiller production were measured on five randomly selected plants of *B. brizantha* per treatment.

Conclusion								
•	Biochar improve brizantho	and the cv. X	green growth araés.	manı and	ure bio	signi mass	ficar of	ntly <i>B.</i>

- Our findings could be used for intensifying forage production in Benin in the current context of animal sedentarization.
- This will increase the production of milk and meat and improve food security.
- This approach is also beneficial for soil restoration in the degraded drylands tropical climate of northern Benin.
- Further studies should evaluate the quality of forage produced and their effect on soil fertility and protection, milk quantity and quality and meat production.

#### Acknowledgements

The project was carried out as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Water, Land and Ecosystems (WLE). We thank all donors that globally support our work through their contributions to the CGIAR System.

## Results

- The combination of biochar and green manure (T7) showed greater performance with 57 % higher plants (103.8 cm) compared to the control (T0, p < 0.05).</li>
- The average number of secondary roots (18.47); leaf length (40.63 cm); leaf width (2.27 cm); number of internodes (7.47); number of tillers (3.07 ± 1.44), number of secondary roots (3.80), and total biomass (20.3 t DM/ha) were significantly higher for T7 treatment than un-amended treatment (p < 0.05).</li>
- Total biomass of T7 averaged 20.3 t DM/ha higher than that of T0 (3.9 t DM/ha).
- The green manure of legumes incorporated in the soil significantly improved the growth and biomass of *B. brizantha* and this improvement is strengthened by the biochar amendment.