

# Gendered Perceptions in Maize Supply Chains: Evidence from Uganda

Anusha De (LICOS, KU LEUVEN) and Bjorn Van Campenhout (IFPRI)

**Aim:** Observe gender-based heterogeneity in perceptions of farmers, dealers, traders and processors of maize in Uganda.

Ratings as a measure for perceptions

→ Bias can hamper inclusiveness.

→ Gender-based bias demotivates women to enter the maize industry and creates disadvantages for women in the market.

## 1. Data

- 1,500 farmers, 78 dealers, 341 traders and 174 processors (2019).
- 64 villages in 3 districts.
- Farmers rated dealers, traders and processors, and these dealers, traders and processors (actors) rated themselves (self-ratings).
- Rating attributes: Location; Price; Quality; Reputation; Average of these (Scale of 1 to 5).

## 2. Hypotheses

- I. Self-ratings by actors are higher than ratings by farmers.
- II. Self-ratings by female actors are lower than by male actors. (3)
- III. Ratings by female farmers are higher than by male farmers. (1)
- IV. Male actors are rated higher than female actors. (1)
- V. Presence of gender homophily (preference for interaction with same gender). (2)

## 4. Conclusion

I + II. Self-ratings by actors are higher, but gender does not matter while self-rating.

III + IV. Female farmers rate higher, but actor's gender does not matter while rating.

V. Gender homophily not evident.



## 3. Methodology

- Intraclass correlation coefficients.
- Regressions with clustered standard errors at the actor level.

$$Y_{ija} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Gender(F)_{ija} + \beta_2 * Gender(R)_{ja} + \beta_3 * X_{ija} + \beta_4 * Z_{ja} + \beta_5 * \Gamma_a + e_{ija} \quad (1)$$

$$Y_{ija} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Gender(F)_{ija} + \beta_2 * Gender(R)_{ja} + \beta_3 * X_{ija} + \beta_4 * Z_{ja} + \beta_5 * \Gamma_a + \beta_6 * Gender(F)_{ija} * Gender(R)_{ja} + e_{ija} \quad (2)$$

$$Y_{ja} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Gender(R)_{ja} + \beta_2 * Z_{ja} + \beta_3 * \Gamma_a + e_{ja} \quad (3)$$