# The Assessment of Relation Between Market Forces and **Deforestation in Indonesia and Brazil: A Meta-analysis**

#### Student: Tereza Lysakova<sup>1</sup> Supervisor: Tomas Ratinger<sup>1</sup>

1 Faculty of Tropical AgriSciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Czech Republic

Key Drivers for PO

Expansion

Poverty-driver

mand Increased log-export industry [3]

eased global

plantation estates (in the past) [5] Revenue driven

change in product

Policy

Instruments

Law no.18 [1] RSPO [2,8] European Energy Directive [2] President's decrees ASEAN AATHP [2] REDDA IT [2]

REDD+ (3,10) Paris Agreement (3 No Deforestation

Peat. No Exploita

Sustainable production whole supply chain [4,7 Non-state market

(eco-labels, certifications) (6 Zero deforestation commitments (9) Soy Moratorium (9,10) Beef Moratorium (10)

#### 1. Introduction

Trade activities in developing countries associated with increased agricultural exports represent the main driver of deforestation since the beginning of 2000s, along with the pressures on economic development and population growth as well as insufficient institutional capabilities to decrease the forest degradation (Leblois et al., 2017).

- High targets towards lowering emissions of GHGs set in developed markets, e.g., in the EU, and increased share of renewable resources within the energy mix or high world demand for agricultural imports of palm oil or soya beans and grains from developing countries influences the agricultural production and worsen the state of the environment resulting in further removals of tropical forests in these countries.
- Agricultural conversion in favor of food and biofuel production belongs among the main drivers for deforestation, along with too liberal approach in low-cost imports of animal feed to the EU which affects directly the land use changes and forests outside of the EU borders (Khatun, 2012).
- Deforestation in Indonesia and Brazil connected with the agricultural production still represents a significant problem (Baccini et al., 2012).

#### 2. Objectives

To compile scientific papers and to conduct a qualitative metaanalysis of the scientific and practical publications on the deforestation and protection of rainforest in relation to high world demand for palm oil and soya beans and grains and beef, in order to draw a relation between market forces and deforestation. (Export and Import countries, Relationship between RE Sector policy targets and production of energy crop in case study countries)

# 3. Materials and Methods

Framing	<ul> <li>Assessment of trade and deforestation regarding case study commodities in Indonesia and Brazil and high targets towards lowering emissions of GHGs set in developed markets, e.g., in the European Union, and increased share of renewable resources within the energy mix.</li> </ul>
	Databases used: Science Direct, Google Scholar
Data Search	Keywords Indonesia: palm oil, export, trade, deforestation, Indonesia qualitative
	Keywords Brazil: soybean, soya, beef, export, trade, deforestation, Brazil, qualitative
Rating	<ul> <li>8 studies focused on Indonesia and 2 studies focused on Brazil, published in peer reviewed journals and containing the</li> </ul>
Rating	relevant keywords, were selected for full text review.
Synthesis	<ul> <li>Thematic synthesis (Thomas &amp; Harden, 2008) was used to</li> </ul>
	code the findings and group similar codes into descriptive
	themes and then further into analytical themes.
Reporting	<ul> <li>Summary of the search strategy, reviewed studies and selected key synthesis findings are presented in the results section.</li> </ul>
	Qualitative meta-analysis methodology following Newton (2015) & Thomas & Harden (2008)

# Possible Solutions

Enforced regues... Information awareness Improved PO varieties Sustainable land use | Alternatives to PO [3] Land reform [3] Investments from developed countries [3] Better social and environmental conditions (private & public sectors) [4] Sustainable PO production via RSPO certification [8] Zero deforestation [9]

Article number	Articles studied in relation to Indonesia & Brazil case study	Authors
1	Forest or oil palm plantation? Interpretation of local responses to the oil palm promises in Kalimantan, Indonesia	Yulani et al. (2020)
2	Indonesia palm oil production without deforestation and peat conversionby 2050	Afriyanti et al. (2016)
3	The role of fiscal instruments in encouraging the private sector and smallholders to reduceemissions from deforestation and forest degradation: Evidence from Indonesia	Cadman et al. (2019)
4	Hybrid governance in agricultural commodity chains: Insights fromimplementation of 'No Deforestation, No Peat, No Exploitation' (NDPE) policies in the oil palm industry	Kløcker Larsen et aL (2018)
5	Palm oil intensification and expansion in Indonesia and Malaysia: Environmental and socio-political factors influencing policy	Varkkey et al. (2018)

der Ven et al L8)
mpe et al. 21)
eno-Peñaran L (2015)
gassen et al. 20)
gasse

Pros of PO

Expansion

Employment opportunities [1,2,7] Revenue generatio

Hindering

Factors

Access to technology [2] Cost related to sustainable production [2] Approach of government

Lacking transparency

Cons of PO

Expansion

oss, fires

nflicts over land

rights (2,8) Illegal logging (3)

emissi Land

## 5. Selected Conclusion

- Global demand and trade with the case study commodities had a considerable impact on land conversion and agricultural expansion, leading to deforestation and related environmental degradation. Policies and policy instruments are crucial in the pledge to cease
- deforestation and its resulting environmental impact (e.g., sustainable production, certification, ban of deforestation related imports) and so is their enforcement.
- This study aimed to analyze and understand key relations between market forces and deforestation and identified the environmental, economic, fiscal, policy, global, local and voluntary aspects as the key relations. Considering all these related aspects might benefit further research.

## 6. References

- Baccini et al. (2012) Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps. Nature Climate Change, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 182-185. Kharun, K (2012), Reform or reversal: implications of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) on land use, land use change, and forestry (ULIUCF) in developing countres. Conservation Letters, Vol. 5, pp. 99-106. Lebids, A, Damette, O, Wolfensberger, J (2017) What has Driven Deforestation in Developing Countries Since the 2000s? Evidence from New Remote-Sensing Data. World Development, Vol. 92, pp. 82-102. Newton J (2015) Qualitative Meta-synthesis. Deakin University. Thomas, J, Harden, A (2008) Methods for the Thematic Synthesis of Qualitative Research in Systematic Reviews. BMC medical research methoology. Vol. 8, p. 45. Citations of studies used in the meta-synthesis presented in the results section of this poster.





#### 4. Selected Results

Relation between Market Forces and Deforestation

in Indonesia and Brazil

Beliefs of

Local

People

Fiscal

Instruments