

Determining Factors Affecting the Adoption of Fodder Crops by Farmers in Ethiopia and Kenya

Sahin Karapaca¹, Eva Schlecht¹, Nils Teufel²

¹University of Kassel / University of Goettingen, Animal Husbandry in the Tropics and Subtropics, Germany

²International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Policies, Institutions & Livelihoods (PIL), Kenya

I. Introduction

- Fodder crop production enables farmers to improve their livestock production.
- Although the livestock population is high in Ethiopia and Kenya, its economic contribution is below potential due to many reasons, including inadequate feed quality and quantity.
- Therefore, it is critical to determine the factors affecting fodder crop adoption by farmers in these countries

II. Objectives

- Determine the level of adoption of fodder cultivation in selected regions of Ethiopia and Kenya.
- Determine the factors affecting fodder crop adoption by farmers in selected regions of Ethiopia and Kenya.

III. Materials & Methods

- Data collected from 180 villages per country selected from regions with dairy production.
- Group interviews conducted using structured questionnaire.
- Data analysis with R: descriptive statistics and Tobit model.

IV. Results

- Fodder adoption intensity, that is share of farm area per household allocated to fodder crop production, was 2% in Ethiopia and 11% in Kenya.
- Most frequently cultivated were Napier grass, *Sesbania sesban* and Rhodes grass in Ethiopia; Napier grass, *Calliandra calothyrsus* and Rhodes grass in Kenya.



Ethiopia

- Tobit analysis revealed that number of fodder projects had a positive influence on adoption intensity of fodder.
- Total area of arable land per farm household had a negative effect ($p < 0.05$) on adoption (Tab.1).

Tab. 1. Tobit regression results of fodder adoption intensity, Ethiopia

| Coefficients | Estimate | S.E. | z value | Pr(> z) |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|
| (Intercept) | 1.03e-03 | 1.34e-02 | -0.076 | 0.940 |
| Wage Level (ETB/male/day) | 1.33e-04 | 1.15e-04 | 1.153 | 0.249 |
| Arable Land per Farm (ha) | -3.31e-03 | 1.56e-03 | -2.124 | 0.034 * |
| Altitude (m a.s.l.) | 8.53e-07 | 5.15e-06 | 0.166 | 0.868 |
| Share of Marketed Milk (%) | 1.17e-04 | 6.54e-05 | 1.792 | 0.073 • |
| Fodder Projects (n) | 2.90e-03 | 1.28e-03 | 2.267 | 0.023 * |
| Distance Nearest Town (km) | 1.59e-04 | 1.61e-04 | 0.982 | 0.326 |
| Dairy Cow per Farm (n) | 7.04e-03 | 4.08e-03 | 1.725 | 0.085 • |
| Log (scale) | -3.66e+00 | 5.42e-02 | -67.443 | <2e-16 *** |

Significance levels: • $p < 0.1$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Kenya

- Effect of total land area per farm was negative and significant ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that larger farms were less likely to produce fodder crops than smaller farms.
- Other variables with a significant negative effect on fodder adoption were distance to nearest town centre ($p < 0.05$) and share of tractor tillage use ($p < 0.01$).

Kenya

- Altitude, milk marketing rate, number of dairy cows per farm, and the price of Napier grass (Tab. 2) had a significant and positive impact on proportion of land allocated to fodder crops.

Tab. 2. Tobit regression results on fodder adoption intensity, Kenya

| Coefficients | Estimate | S.E. | z value | Pr(> z) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| (Intercept) | 5.26e-02 | 8.77e-02 | 0.599 | 0.549 |
| Arable Land per Farm (ac) | -1.17e-02 | 4.54e-03 | -2.565 | 0.010 * |
| Dairy Cow (Crossbred) per Farm | 1.09e-02 | 4.14e-03 | 2.624 | 0.009 ** |
| Distance Nearest Town (km) | -1.41e-03 | 5.971e-04 | -2.357 | 0.018 * |
| Milk Price (KES) | -5.68e-04 | 5.86e-04 | -0.970 | 0.332 |
| Milk Collection Centre Availability | 1.85e-02 | 2.16e-02 | 0.858 | 0.391 |
| Share of Marketed Milk (%) | 9.52e-04 | 3.88e-04 | 2.452 | 0.014 * |
| Napier Price (KES) | 1.12e-02 | 3.63e-03 | 3.076 | 0.002 ** |
| Altitude (m a.s.l.) | 3.63e-05 | 1.77e-05 | 2.053 | 0.040 * |
| Wage Level (KES/male/day) | 8.28e-05 | 1.10e-04 | 0.751 | 0.453 |
| Share of Tractor Tillage (%) | -7.20e-04 | 2.70e-04 | -2.670 | 0.008 ** |
| Extension Visit (Fodder) Availability | 2.82e-02 | 1.96e-02 | 1.440 | 0.150 |
| Awareness Level of Participants | 6.84e-04 | 3.66e-04 | 1.868 | 0.062 • |
| Log (scale) | -2.36 | 0.055 | -42.84 | <2e-16 *** |

Significance levels: • $p < 0.1$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

V. Conclusions

- Fodder adoption projects and market-related variables are key drivers that increase intensity of fodder adoption in Ethiopia and Kenya, respectively.
- Some regional variables such as altitude and distance to nearest town also affect fodder adoption intensity.
- With respect to fodder-related projects, our findings can guide government's and stakeholders' focus on regions with high adoption potential.
- Especially in regions where dairy cattle keeping predominates, adoption of improved fodder crops should be fostered by raising awareness among farmers and facilitating commercialization of dairy products.

This study was supported by a scholarship of the Republic of Turkey and a master's thesis grant by the Junior Scientists Program of ATS4F