

In vitro antagonism of native Guatemalan isolates of Trichoderma harzianum and T. viride for biological control of Rhizoctonia solani

José A. Ruiz-Chután^{1, 2}, Julio E. Berdúo-Sandoval¹, Marie Kalousová², Bohdan Lojka², Amílcar Sánchez-Pérez¹





Introduction

Rhizoctonia solani is a basidiomycete present in the soil[1] that currently

Isolates of *T. harzianum* showed a higher average Percentage Inhibition of Radial Growth (PIRG) of R. solani (56.71 %) than T. viride (37.58 %) (p <

affects the production of potato crops in Guatemala's western highlands, causing the disease known as black scurf. The current classification of R. solani is based on the ability of the hyphae to merge and form an anastomosis group (AG), 13 AG being recognized so far [2]. Due to the negative impacts of chemical disease control, a viable alternative is presented in usage of fungi from the genus *Trichoderma* as a biological control strategy [3]. The research objective was to identify the AG of R. solani present in the western highlands of Guatemala and to evaluate the potencial of native isolates of T. harzianum and T. viride as biological control agents.

Methodology

From three potato-producing departments (Figure 1), we obtained 78 R. solani isolates. DNA was extracted from the isolates and specific PCR was conducted to identify the AG. 25 and 29 isolates of T. harzianum and T. *viride*, respectively were fingerprinted with AFLP. Data analysis: Percentage Inhibition of Radial Growth (PIRG)- Student's t-test, ANOVA and post hoc analysis (ggstatsplot[4]), radial cluster dendrogram (ape [5]).

.001) (Fig 3).



We determined that the genetic groups of *T. harzianum* and *T. virens* (Fig. 4a) are equally effective against the 3 AG identified (Fig 4b, 4c), highlighting the benefit of using native isolates.





Results

Of the 78 isolates of *R. solani*, the groups AG-3PT, AG-4-HG-II and AG-5 were identified (Fig 2a) with an incidence of 46.16%, 14.10% and 17.96%, respectively, while 17 isolates could not be assigned (Fig 2b).

Figure 4. a) Radial cluster dendrogram showing the genetic relationship of the 25 and 29 isolates of T. harzianum and T. viride, respectively. Branch color depicts different genetic groups. b) PIRG by each genetic group of both Trichoderma species. c) PIRG caused by T. harzianum and T. viride over each anastomosis group.

Conclusions

AG-3PT AG-4-HG-II AG-5 unasigned

We demonstrate the in vitro potential of native isolates of *T.harzianum* and T. viride to inhibit the growth of the 3 AG identified. We suggest using

Figure 2. a) Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified products from the isolates of *R. solani*. Lane MW= 250 bp DNA ladder. Lanes 1-7 AG-3PT positive (480 bp). Lanes 8-10 AG4-HGII positive (420 bp). Lanes 11 and 12 AG-5 positive (350 bp). b) Incidence of the anastomosis groups of *R. solani*.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by Dirección General de Investigación (Digi) through project No. B18-2020

sequencing of the ITS region for the identification of unassigned isolates of *R. solani* through sequence comparison of NCBI genebank sequences and field evaluations to assess the efficiency of T. harzianum and T. viride native isolates.

References

[1] Rajendraprasad, M., Vidyasagar, B., Uma, G., & Koteswar, R. (2017). In vitro evaluation of fungicides and biocontrol agents against Rhizoctonia solani in tomato. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 17(5), 1–9.

[2] Carling, D. E., Kuninaga, S., & Brainard, K. A. (2002). Hyphal anastomosis reactions, rDNA-internal transcribed spacer sequences, and virulence levels among sunsets of *Rhizoctonia solani* anastomosis group 2 and AG BI. Phytopathology, 92, 43-50.

[3] Abbas, A., Jiang, D., & Fu, Y. (2017). Trichoderma spp. as antagonist of Rhizoctonia solani. Journal of Plant Pathology & Microbiology, 8(3), 1–9.

[4] Patil, I. (2018). ggstatsplot: 'ggplot2' Based Plots with Statistical Details. CRAN. Retrieved from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggstatsplot/index.html

[5] Paradis, E., Schliep K. (2019).ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics,(35),526–528.