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Highlights

- Necessity to reflexively consider positioning of indigenous and local knowledge in innovation processes
- Different problems require different relations
- Call attention to epistemic justice

1. Introduction

- Indigenous and local knowledge (ILK) play an increasingly important role in debates about inclusive innovation
- However, the positioning of indigenous and local knowledge in innovation processes remains ambiguous and contested
- We address this positioning in the context of inclusive agricultural innovations by reviewing 65 publications

2. Methods

- The Web of Science search criteria (Feb 2021)
  - Topics must include synonyms of...
    - Indigenous and local knowledge
    - Inclusive innovations
    - Agriculture
  - Title must contain synonyms of...
    - Knowledge
- 7 publications were beyond the scope of this review
- 58 publications were qualitatively analyzed through iterative inductive coding (Fig. 1)

3. Modes

Where are innovations situated in relation to ILK?

- Internally → ILK is inherently innovative
- Externally → innovations are externally induced
- In hybridity → at the interface of ILK and non-ILK

How is ILK envisioned?

- Rather static
- Rather dynamic

4. Conceptual framework

- We propose a framework that conceptualizes different relations between ILK and innovation processes
- The modes are placed on axes (Fig. 2), which results in 5 different conceptualisations of ILK within inclusive innovation processes

ILK as dynamic

- ILK is dynamic through processes of vernacularization
- Innovations processes are externally influenced, but require adaptation to local contexts
- ILK is inherently dynamic

ILK as static

- ILK as a passive receiver of innovations
- In extremes, ILK is an ‘obstacle to development’
- ILK is rooted in ways and wisdom of ancestors
- ILK is innovative because it is understudied and –utilized

Building bridges

- Often implies some form of knowledge integration
- Need for dialogue to build bridges between ILK and non-ILK traditions
- However, also necessary to recognize politics of knowledge
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Concluding remarks

- ILK is approached in remarkably different and sometimes contradictory ways in agriculture
- We advocate for a pluralist stance: depending on the particular context, there are different ways to position ILK in innovation processes
- However, not every mode is equally acceptable in every context. We emphasize the need to critically engage with the politics of ILK in agricultural innovations