

Effects of Land Use Change in the Hydrophysical Properties in Vertisols in Northeastern Mexico

María Inés Yáñez Díaz, Israel Cantú Silva, Humberto González Rodríguez

Faculty of Forestry Sciences, Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon, Mexico inesyd@gmail.com



INTRODUCTION

The impact of the use of natural resources associated with anthropogenic activities has increased evidently, mainly through land use changes which have altered hydrophysical properties of soil

Hypothesis: In the same soil type (Vertisol), four types of land use system (grassland, agricultural, *Eucalyptus* plantation and thornscrub) and seasonal variation can modify the soil hydrophysical properties

Keywords: Bulk density, hydraulic conductivity, infiltration, Land use systems, porosity, soil penetration resistance, Vertisol



• **Bulk density (pb)** (cylinder method)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RESULTS





Statistical analyses Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variances with Levene's test Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA): land use system (factor A) and seasonal changes (factor B) and mean comparison according Tukey'test

- $pb (g \ cm^{-3}) = \frac{dry \ weight \ of \ sample \ (g)}{cylinder \ volume \ (cm^3)}$ $Total \ porosity \ (\phi P) \ (Zhang \ et \ al., 2013)$ $\phi P \ (\%) = (1 \frac{Bulk \ density \ (pb)}{2.65 \ g \ cm^{-3} \ particle \ density}) \ 100$
- Soil penetration resistance (SPR)
 SPR (kg cm⁻²) Yamanaka soil hardness tester.
- Hydraulic conductivity (K) (falling head permeability test, Das, 2002, Sanchez, 2015) $K = \frac{3.46}{\Delta t}$ $\Delta t = t2-t1$
- Infiltration (double ring infiltrometer)
 Infiltration rate (*i*, mm hr⁻¹) nonlinear infiltration models of Kostiakov
 Infiltration capacity (*fp*, mm h⁻¹) average infiltration rate for the last three measurement
 cumulative infiltration (*fc*, mm) total water content
 infiltrated at 120 min

TABLE Mean values of infiltration capacity (*fp*) and cumulative infiltration (*fc*) at 120 min during infiltration tests for four land uses in

Results showed significant differences between land use systems and seasons for *K*, *fp*, and *fc*

	Land use (FA) F _(3, 31)	Season (FB) F _(3, 31)	FA*FB F _(9, 31)	Adjusted R ²
$K (\text{cm s}^{-1})$	28.549**	9.765**	3.962**	0.74
$\rho b (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	12.573**	0.214 ^{NS}	0.994 ^{NS}	0.40
$\varphi P(\%)$	12.693**	0.186 ^{NS}	0.989 ^{NS}	0.40
SPR (kg cm ⁻²)	54.445**	0.564 ^{NS}	1.396 ^{NS}	0.77
$fp \text{ (mm hr}^{-1}\text{)}$	38.092**	3.643*	2.985*	0.74
$fi (\text{mm hr}^{-1})$	21.046**	2.549 ^{NS}	4.855**	0.69
fc (mm)	23.845**	3.308*	3.390**	0.67

Notes. FA: factor A; FB: factor B; *fc*: cumulative infiltration; *fi*: initial infiltration; *fp*: infiltration capacity; *K*: hydraulic conductivity; *SPR*: soil penetration resistance; ρb : bulk density; φP : porosity. *Significant differences ($p \le 0.05$);** Highly significant differences ($p \le 0.01$); ^{NS} No significant differences.





Thornscrub Grassland Plantation Agriculture



Thornscrub Grassland Plantation Agriculture

8

6

n⁻²)

5

(kg

resistance

2

Soil penetratic

each season of study. Different letter for *fp* or *fc* columns indicates a significant difference according to the Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

Summer			Autumn		Winter		Spring	
Land use	<i>fp</i> (mm hr ⁻¹)	fc (mm)	$fp (\mathrm{mm}\mathrm{hr}^{-1})$	fc (mm)	<i>fp</i> (mm hr ⁻¹)	fc (mm)	$fp (\mathrm{mm}\mathrm{hr}^{-1})$	fc (mm)
Thornscrub	229.3 ^{ab}	485.7 ^{ab}	192.2 ^b	416.7 ^a	246.4 ^b	576.0 ^{ab}	130.5 ^b	285.0 ^b
Grassland	65.7 ^a	105.3 ^a	54.0 ^a	107.3 ^a	53.6 ^a	105.0 ^a	46.9 ^a	119.0 ^a
Plantation	314.8 ^b	662.7 ^{ab}	192.7 ^{ab}	457.7 ^a	282.9 ^b	642.7 ^b	143.1 ^b	353.0 ^b
Agriculture	388.5 ^b	787.0 ^b	540.7 ^c	1061 ^b	190.6 ^{ab}	367.3 ^{ab}	285.0 ^c	528.7 ^c



CONCLUSIONS

Land use changes modified the hydrophysical properties in the Vertisol, being the agricultural and grassland system



In the spring season, the values of *K* were higher, averaging up to 32.5% with respect to the other there seasons, except the grassland system which showed the lowest values during all seasons. In winter season, no differences were observed among land uses according to Tukey's For *pb*, φP and *SPR*, only were showed differences in factor A (land use). The correlation values of among *pb* and φP with other hydrophysical properties were significant except with SPR which did not show a significant correlation

Thornscrub Grassland Plantation Agriculture



It can be concluded that although the edaphic factor is dominated by the characteristics of the interaction of factors at the time of its formation, the anthropogenic activities will determine the functioning of the soil system, contributing to changes that modify the physical properties, and affecting ecological stability and economic continuity.

Das, B. M. (2002). Soil mechanics laboratory manual, 6th ed. (p. 215). Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press.

Sanchez, L. (2015). An integrated study of sediment related disaster prevention and erosion control for urbanized forest slopes in Nuevo Leon, Mexico. Doctoral thesis. Kyushu University, Japan. 112 p.

Zhang, Z. H., Li, X. Y., Jiang, Z. Y., Peng, H. Y., Li, L., & Zhao, G. Q. (2013). Change in some soil properties induced by re-conversion of cropland into grassland in the semiarid steppe zone of Inner Mongolia, China. *Plant and Soil*, 373, 89–106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1772-3.

Yáñez-Diaz MI, Cantú-Silva I, González-Rodríguez H, Sánchez-Castillo L. Effects of land use change and seasonal variation in the hydrophysical properties in Vertisols in northeastern Mexico. *Soil Use Management*. 2019;00:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12500