

Nutrient Digestibility and Performance of Sheep Fed Bitter Cassava Leaf Meal Based Diet Supplemented with Cyanide-Degrading Bacteria

Sri Suharti, Hafni Oktafia, Asep Sudarman, Komang Gede Wiryawan

Dept. of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Faculty of Animal Science. IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), INDONESIA

Introduction

- · Cassava leaf meal especially bitter cassava contains hydrocyanic acid (HCN) either free or in the form of cyanogenic glycosides (Soto-Blanco & Go'rniak, 2010) which is toxic for the animal
- . Mechanism toxicity of cyanide :



Materials and Methods





Materials and Methods

- Animal: 15 Indonesian local male sheep, 12 months of age, initial body weight of 18.76 ± 1.02 kg
- Design : Randomized block design, 5 treatments x 3 block/ replications

239

Treatments :

- T1 : 40% Napier grass : 60% concentrate (Control)
- 40% Napier grass : 45% concentrate : 15% bitter cassava leaf meal (BCL15)



- · Cyanide content of bitter cassava leaves up to 1180 ppm and categorized as dangerous. The sun drying treatment during 6 hours reduced cyanide up to 13%.
- One of strategies for detoxifying cyanide is the use of Microbe
- · Our previous study had isolated and identified rumen bacteria which can detoxify HCN . Based on morphological and molecular identification, this bacteria had similarities (99%) with Sharpea azabuensis, Bovine rumen bacterium, and Lachnospiraceae bacterium (Novita et al., 2015).

Objective

This research aimed to evaluate the effect of cyanidedegrading bacteria inoculation on sheep performance fed with bitter cassava leaf meal based ration

Fig.1. Bitter Cassava Leaf and Indonesian Local Sheep

Highlights

⇒ The addition of bitter cassava leaf meal up to 30% to did not affect feed intake and nutrient digestibility but decreased total VFA, NH₃ feed efficiency and body weight gain of sheep.

⇒ Inoculation of cyanide degrading bacteria to the sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal increased total VFA, NH₃, body weight gain and feed efficiency compared to those which did not add with cyanide-degrading bacteria.

⇒ Cyanide-degrading bacteria could detoxify cyanide in the bitter cassava leaf meal and enhanced sheep performance that fed bitter cassava leaf meal 30%.

- T3 : 40% Napier grass : 45% concentrate : 15% bitter cassava leaf meal + cyanide-degrading bacteria inoculation (BCL15+isolate)
- T4 : 40% Napier grass : 30% concentrate : 30% bitter cassava leaf meal (BCL30)
- T5 : 40% Napier grass : 30% concentrate : 30% bitter cassava leaf meal + cyanide-degrading bacteria inoculation (BCL30+isolate)

Table 1. Ration formulation (% DM)

| | Treatment | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Ingredient (%) | Control (C) | BCL15 | BCL15+ Isolate | BCL30 | BCL30+ Isolate |
| Napier Grass | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Bitter Casava leaf meal | 0 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 30 |
| Cassava waste | 45 | 43 | 43 | 43.5 | 43.5 |
| Pollard | 15 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Soybean meal | 16 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 |
| Coconut meal | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Molasses | 10 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4 |
| CaCO ₃ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Premix | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DCP | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Urea | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Variables measured : feed intake, body weight gain, feed efficiency, microbe population, rumen fermentation characteristics, and nutrient digestibility.

Results

Feed and Nutrient Intake

Results

Rumen Fermentation Characteristics

⇒ The feed intake were similar among treatments



Fig 2. Intake of Napier grass, concentrate and the total ration of sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal inoculated with cyanide-degrading bacteria

Nutrient Intake and Digestibility

- ⇒ The used of bitter cassava leaf meal increased nutrient intake (P<0.05) especially ether extract (fat) and crude fiber intake.
- ⇒ Inoculation of cyanide-degrading bacteria to the sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal decreased (P<0.05) the intake of fat and crude fiber compared to those without inoculation cyanide-degrading bacteria
- ⇒ Nutrient digestibility were similar among treatments



- \Rightarrow The used of bitter cassava leaf meal at level 15% and 30% generally decreased (P \leq 0.05) total VFA and NH₃ compared to the control treatment (no bitter cassava leaf meal).
- ⇒ Inoculation of cyanide-degrading bacteria to the sheep that fed bitter cassava leaf meal 30% could improve total VFA and NH₃ but decreased ($P \le 0.05$) propionate compared to those which did not add with cyanide-degrading bacteria
- \Rightarrow The C2/C3 ratio increased (P \le 0.05) and methane estimation decreased (P \le 0.05) with the used of bitter cassava leaf meal. Inoculation of cyanide-degrading bacteria further reducing methane production.

Table 2. Rumen fermentation characteristics of sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal with or without HCN degrading bacteria inoculation

| Variable | Treatment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Control | BCL15 | BCL15+isolate | BCL30 | BCL30+isolate | | |
| NH ₃ (mM) | 18.29 ± 1.41^{a} | 15.05 ± 4.16^{ab} | 12.59 ± 1.54^{ab} | 10.16 ± 5.80^{b} | 13.87 ± 1.74^{ab} | | |
| VFA Total (mM) | 96.03 ± 3.55^{a} | 85.19 ± 19.31^{ab} | 65.03 ± 3.28^{bc} | $44.78 \pm 8.09^{\circ}$ | 48.99 ± 8.48^{c} | | |
| Molar proportion of VFA (mM/100mM | | | | | | | |
| Acetate | 62.93 ± 5.70 | 67.78 ± 7.42 | 68.26 ± 2.29 | 71.66 ± 10.13 | 73.53 ± 4.13 | | |
| Propionate | 27.05 ± 3.51^{a} | 22.62 ± 4.48^{ab} | 22.54 ± 1.72^{ab} | 20.19 ± 5.28^{ab} | 16.68 ± 3.54^{b} | | |
| Butyrate | 8.61 ± 1.86 | 8.11 ± 2.52 | 8.02 ± 0.82 | 7.13 ± 4.59 | 9.04 ± 3.04 | | |
| Valerate | 1.40 ± 0.56 | 1.49 ± 0.46 | 1.17 ± 0.37 | 1.01 ± 0.27 | 0.75 ± 0.19 | | |
| C2/C3 (mM) | 2.37 ± 0.48^{b} | 3.11 ± 0.86^{ab} | 3.04 ± 0.32^{ab} | 3.80 ± 1.46^{ab} | 4.56 ± 1.07^{a} | | |
| Methane estimation (mM) | 23.30 ± 2.00^{a} | 23.00 ± 2.03^{a} | 18.05 ± 1.60^{b} | 13.02 ± 0.99^{bc} | $15.61 \pm 1.61^{\circ}$ | | |

Different superscripts in the same row means significantly (P<0.05)

Body Weight Gain and Feed Efficiency

- ⇒ The used of cassava leaf meal to substitute concentrate ration at level 15 and 30 % decreased feed efficiency and average daily gain (ADG).
- Inoculation of cyanide-degrading bacteria improved (P<0.05) feed efficiency and ADG compared to the sheep receiving

Fig 3. Nutrient intake of sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal inoculated with cyanide-degrading bacteria



Control BCL15 BCL15+isolate BCL30 BCL30+isolate

Fig 4. Nutrient digestibility of sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal inoculated with cyanide-degrading bacteria

a diet containing bitter cassava leaf meal without bacterial inoculation

Table 2. Rumen fermentation characteristics of sheep fed bitter cassava leaf meal with or without HCN degrading bacteria inoculation

| | Treatments | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| variable | Control | BCL15 | BCL15+isolate | BCL30 | BCL30+isolate | | |
| Feed intake (g) | 535.57±94.72 | 605.61±5.0 | 542.2±31.57 | 560.64±69.05 | 540.26±87.11 | | |
| Initial BW(kg) | 17.90 ± 3.61 | 20.43±0.84 | 18.97±3.12 | 17.60 ± 3.21 | 17.11±1.88 | | |
| Final BW(kg) | 22.03±3.59 | 24.02±0.18 | 21.54±2.27 | 21.21±3.25 | 22.35±1.07 | | |
| ADG (g/day) | 45.96±14.6 ^{ab} | 39.85±9.66 ^{ab} | 58.19 ± 9.46^{a} | 28.59±9.82 ^b | 40.11±4.92 ^{ab} | | |
| Feed Efficiency | 0.09 ± 0.03^{ab} | 0.07±0.02 ^{ab} | 0.11 ± 0.02^{a} | 0.05 ± 0.01^{b} | 0.07 ± 0.01^{ab} | | |

Different superscripts in the same row means significantly (P<0.05)

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of Indonesia for providing financial support of this research through "Insentif Riset Nasional (INSINAS)" grant

Corresponding author sri_suharti@apps.ipb.ac.id

