



World Vegetable Center





Effects of PGPR and *Rhizobium phaseoli* on nitrogen fixation of Mungbean (Vigna radiata) under dryland conditions



Juan Barroso¹, Lisa Pataczek¹, Thomas Hilger¹, Zahir Ahmad Zahir², Georg Cadisch¹ ¹University of Hohenheim, Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthemberg-Institute), Germany ²University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, Pakistan

1. Introduction

Mungbean is a grain legume well adapted to the dryland conditions of the tropics and subtropics that can fix around 9-112 kg N ha⁻¹, representing an N₂-fixation between 15-63% of its total N uptake ⁽¹⁾. However, due to the lack of summer rains, mungbean is exposed to severe water deficits that result in lower N₂-fixation activity and plant growth, affecting the yield up to 70% ⁽²⁾. Facing this problem, the use of combined inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) might have the potential to diminish the adverse effects caused by water deficits and salinity stresses ⁽³⁾.



4. Discussion

- Other experiments in Pakistan supported our results, where the co-inoculation of M9 and Mk20 increased chlorophyll, biomass, and N₂-fixation of mungbeans ^(3,5). Nevertheless, the separate single inoculations of both bacteria obtained the lowest biomass and N₂-fixation.
- Drought and salinity stress conditions promote proline accumulation in mungbeans ^(2,5,6). Despite the lack of these stressors, the inoculation with either Y16 or MIX led to the highest proline content, indicating that both treatments also foster mungbean growth under other stresses.
- In contrast with other results, M9 and Mk20 did not reduce proline content ^(5,7).
- Supporting our results, Y16 increased mungbean proline content under non-stress conditions ⁽⁶⁾.

5. Conclusion

• Identify the impact of single and combined inoculations PGPR with *Rhizobium* of *phaseoli* on the N₂-fixation, nitrogen content, biomass accumulation and growth development of mungbean in Pakistan.

Research Question

Objective

• Is the combined use more effective than the separate single inoculation?

- The combined (MIX) inoculation was the most effective. But the single inoculation Y16 obtained similar results.
- Co-inoculation of M9 and Y16 might be the best treatment.
- •Y16 increased proline content as well as biomass and yield, suggesting that proline an indicator for production might be adaptation to heat.
- Further research needed to test all combined and single inoculations under normal, salt, drought, and heat-stress conditions.

2. Methodology



Seed inoculation with broth cultures (M9, Mk20 and Y16).

Sowing by hand with a mean seed density of 7 plants/m².

Treatments:

- Rhizobium phaseoli (M9)
- Pseudomonas fluorescens (Mk20)
- Bacillus subtilis (Y16)
- R. phaseoli + P. fluorescens + *B. subtilis* (MIX)

¹⁵N natural abundance method



Mass spectrometry analysis of maize, pot and field samples: Stable isotopes ¹⁵N/¹⁴N.

Proline content analysis during flowering period (48 DAS) ⁽⁴⁾.

Chlorophyll concentration analysis during flowering period (49 DAS) with a SPAD-502 meter devise.

Mungbean root profiles showed at maturity stage taproots up to <u>63 cm deep</u>.

> Biomass analysis at maturity (64 DAS).

Mungbean field plants: **sample** representing the ¹⁵N abundance from the soil N and the Ndfa.

Mungbean pot plants: **Background value** representing only the ¹⁵N abundance from the atmosphere.

Maize field plants: **<u>Reference plant</u>** representing only the plant available ¹⁵N abundance in soil.

BMZ [《]

Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung

Field tria

Contact Address:

Juan Barroso

University of Hohenheim Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute). Garbenstrasse 13, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany. juan.barroso@uni-hohenheim.de

References

1. Peoples, M. B., D. F. Herridge, and J. K. Ladha (1995). Biological nitrogen fixation: An efficient source of nitrogen for sustainable agricultural production? Plant and Soil 174:3-28. 2. Bhise, K. K., P. K. Bhagwat, and P. B. Dandge (2017). Plant growth-promoting characteristics of salt tolerant Enterobacter cloacae strain KBPD and its efficacy in amelioration of salt stress in Vigna radiata L. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 36(1):215–26. 3. Ahmad, M., Z. A. Zahir, H. N. Asghar, and M. Arshad (2012). The combined application of rhizobial strains and plant growth promoting rhizobacterias improves growth and productivity of mung bean (Vigna radiata L.) under salt-stressed conditions. Annals of Microbiology 62:1321-30.

4. Bates, L. S., R. P. Waldren, and I. D. Teare (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant and Soil 39(1):205-7.

5. Ahmad, M., Z. A. Zahir, S. M. Nadeem, F. Nazli, M. Jamil, and M. Khalid (2013). Field evaluation of Rhizobium and Pseudomonas strains to improve growth, nodulation and yield of mung bean under salt-affected conditions. Soil and Enviroment 32(2):158-66. 6. Islam, F., T. Yasmeen, M. S. Arif, S. Ali, B. Ali, S. Hameed, and W. Zhou (2016). Plant growth promoting bacteria confer salt tolerance in Vigna radiata by up-regulating antioxidant defense and biological soil fertility. Plant Growth Regulation 80(1):23-36. 7. Gagné-Bourque, F., A. Bertrand, A. Claessens, K. A. Aliferis, and S. Jabaji (2016). Alleviation of drought stress and metabolic changes in timothy (Phleum pratense L.) colonized with Bacillus subtilis B26. Frontiers in Plant Science 7(May):1-16. 8. http://avrdc.org/project-profile-beans-benefits/ 9. http://www.assignmentpoint.com/science/physics/mass-spectrometry.html