# Is food security sufficiently integrated in estimates of global biomass potentials for non-food uses?



# Michael Nassl<sup>1</sup>, Tina Beuchelt<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn

# **Purpose and relevance:**

- The substitution of petroleum-based products with biomass-derived alternatives is of high economic and scientific relevance
- Global biomass supply is limited by planetary boundaries  $\rightarrow$  conflictladen trade-offs between biomass uses are predictable
- Global food security is a critical issue  $\rightarrow$  further investigation whether it is adequately accounted for in the estimates is important

## What are 'realistic' estimates?

- Biospheric maximum for the energy capacity from aboveground NPP is ~1100 EJ/a (Haberl et al. 2013)
- Currently humans harvest and destroy ~300 EJ/a  $\rightarrow$  leaves ~800 EJ/a "untapped"



Harvesting poplar for jet fuel © Marcus Kauffman hardwoodbiofuels.org

### This research...

... aims to understand how food requirements and different dimensions of food security are reflected in biomass potential estimations

#### For this...

- The current share of bio-energy use of the global biomass harvested is 22% (IEA 2013)
- $\rightarrow$  maximum capacity for bio-energy uses would be ~245 EJ/a



Mean biomass potential (EJ)

# Integration of Food Security in the studies

• All studies calculate the agricultural land requirements based on caloric energy needs.

#### However:

- Only 8 out of 22 studies disclose their calculation base regarding food diets in 2050
- Only 6 out of 22 studies provide explicit dietary scenarios

... we conducted a systematic literature review of peer-reviewed and "grey" literature focusing on estimates for the year 2050



- Only 7 out of 22 include projected future food prices
- Estimated total caloric intake in the studies range from 2410 kcal/cap/d (vegetarian diet) to 3170 kcal/cap/d (high meat based diets)

#### However:

OECD current food consumption is 3500 kcal/cap/d

 $\rightarrow$  this would mean a caloric reduction of 10% to 30% for OECD

- Share of protein in diets is considered in several studies However:
  - Balanced (including minerals, nutrition vitamins, micronutrients, phytochemicals etc.) is not considered

## AND:

• Food security is only considered via caloric requirements (supply)  $\rightarrow$  other dimensions of food security (i.e., availability, access, utilization, and stability) are only mentioned in 2 studies.

# **Conclusions:**

A large number of studies overestimate future bioenergy-potentials, as

#### The studies...

... show wide variations in their estimates ranging from 40 to over 1540 EJ/a

... all claim to account for a sufficient food supply for 2050's world population

... by a majority predict future potential for energy from biomass to be significantly higher than the current levels

anything beyond 245 EJ/a would most likely mean an expansion of bioenergy production at the cost of other biomass uses

- Most studies lack transparency regarding their underlying assumptions and modelling approaches
- Food security is hardly discussed and integrated from a present-day understanding

Haberl, Helmut; Erb, Karl-Heinz; Krausmann, Fridolin; Running, Steve; Searchinger, Timothy D.; Smith, W. Kolby (2013): Bioenergy: how much can we expect for 2050? In: Environmental Research Letters 8 (3). IEA (2013): World energy outlook 2013 - Renewable energy outlook. Hg. v. International Energy Agency (IEA). Online verfügbar unter http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/

# www.biomassweb.org

Contact: mnassl@uni-bonn.de Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung Genscherallee 3 D-53113 Bonn



SPONSORED BY THE

Federal Ministry of Education

and Research

Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development