Energy use efficiency and enteric methane production in Boran steers fed at restricted levels of energy intake

D. Korir^{1, 3}, C. Gachuiri², L. Merbold¹, K. Butterbach-Bahl^{1, 3}, J.P. Goopy^{1, *}

¹International Livestock Research Institute, P. O. Box 30709, Nairobi, Kenya, ²University of Nairobi, Department of Animal Production, ³Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research, Kreuzeckbahnstr. 19, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany.

1. Background

- At low levels of intake, ruminants fed above maintenance energy requirements (MER) improve their dietary nutrients use efficiency.
- Limited studies have however been conducted at below maintenance intakes and the few available studies are inconclusive (Doreau *et* al., 2003; Korir *et al.*, 2016).

3. Methods

2. Aim

 To study the effect of restricted level of intakeabove and below maintenance on dietary apparent digestibility, energy partitioning and enteric methane emission.





Tropentag 2017 20th- 22nd Sept. 2017

- Boran yearling steers (n=12, LW=183.3±4.3 kg [SE], age=18 mo) were used in a completely randomized block design. The experiment ran for 5 weeks.
- Dietary treatments consisted of 120%, 100%, 80% and 60% levels of MER intake.
- The diets consisted mainly of chaffed Rhodes grass hay (CP: 57.7 g/kg ME: 8.3 MJ/kg). 20% of energy intake for the 120% MER treatment was fed as 48:52 cotton seed meal: molasses mix.
- Net Intake, faecal and urine output (Figure 1), total tract digestibility and enteric methane production were measured (Figure 2).

4. Results

- Level of intake did not affect organic matter or crude protein digestibility (P>0.54 and P>0.38, respectively).
- Methane production at the lowest energy intake was lower than maintenance intake (Table 1).

Figure 1 : Steers in individual metabolic crates during total urine and faecal collection.



Table 1: Treatment contrasts for energy intake (MJ/100kg LW/d), faecal, urinary, and methane energy losses (% of G.E intake) and methane production (g) in Boran steers used in the current trial.

	120%MER	100% MER		60% MER	Pooled
	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=3)	S.E
Energy intake					
(MJ/100kg LW/d)					
Gross energy	47.6 ^a	40.5 ^b	33.5 ^c	25.7 ^d	1.62
Digestible energy	37.4 ^a	32.1 ^{a,b}	25.2 ^b	19.9 ^b	1.47
Metabolizable energy	32.7 ^a	28.1 ^{a,b}	21.4 ^c	16.6 ^d	1.46
Energy loss:					
(% of G.E intake)					
Faeces	42.8 ^a	42.3 ^a	46.7 ^a	44.5 ^a	1.18
Urine	1.8 ^a	2.1 ^a	2.4 ^a	2.2 ^a	0.23

Figure 2 : Animal in the respiratory chamber during methane production measurements.

5. Discussion

The higher energy losses in form of methane emissions at energy intakes below MER in the present study suggest that energy utilization in cattle fed below maintenance decrease. This is against the general trend observed at above

Methane	7.5 ^a	7.3 ^a	8.8 ^a	9.1 ^a	0.45
Methane production:					
(g/d)	95.3 ^a	81.1 ^b	79.8 ^b	59.3 ^c	6.11
(g/kg DMI)	24.0 ^{a,b}	19.3ª	22.0 ^{a,b}	24.3 ^{a,b}	1.44

MER intake.

6. Conclusion

Findings from the present study agree with the limited data available from animal experiments. Tangible conclusion however could not be made because of the small sample size used in the present experiment.

*John Goopy (Corresponding author) jgoopy@cgiar.org • Box 30709 Nairobi,
Kenya • +254706 397 289 Nairobi Kenya
mazingira.ilri.org
This project was funded by GIZ Daniel Korir d.Korir@cigar.org . +254710886819 Box 30709 Nairobi, Kenya. • mazingira.ilri.org INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE



Mazingira Centre environmental research and education centre