Tropentag, September 20-22, 2017, Bonn



"Future Agriculture: Socio-ecological transitions and bio-cultural shifts"

Nested Archetypes of Vulnerability in African Drylands: Where Lies Potential for Sustainable Agricultural Intensification?

Diana Sietz¹, Jenny Ordoñez¹, Marcel Kok², Peter Janssen², Henk Hilderink³, Pablo Tittonell^{4,1}, Han Van Dijk^{5,1}

¹Wageningen University and Research, The Netherlands

²Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, The Netherlands

³National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, The Netherlands

⁴National Institute for Agricultural Technology (INTA), Natural Resources and Environment Program, Argentina

⁵African Studies Centre, The Netherlands

Abstract

Food production is key to achieving food security in the drylands of sub-Saharan Africa. Since agricultural productivity is limited, however, due to inherent agro-ecological constraints and land degradation, sustainable agricultural intensification has been widely discussed as an opportunity for improving food security and reducing vulnerability. Yet vulnerability determinants are distributed heterogeneously in these drylands and sustainable intensification cannot be achieved everywhere in cost-effective and efficient ways. To better understand the heterogeneity of farming systems' vulnerability in order to support decision making at regional scales, we present archetypes, i.e. socio-ecological patterns, of farming systems' vulnerability in the drylands of sub-Saharan Africa and reveal their nestedness. We quantitatively indicated the most relevant farming systems' properties at a sub-national resolution. These factors included water availability, agro-ecological potential, erosion sensitivity, population pressure, urbanisation, remoteness, governance, income and undernourishment. Cluster analysis revealed eight broad archetypes of vulnerability across all drylands of sub-Saharan Africa. The broad archetype representing better governance and highest remoteness encompassed the largest area share (19%), mainly indicated in western Africa. Moreover, six nested archetypes were identified within those regions with better agropotential and prevalent agricultural livelihoods. Among these patterns, the nested archetype depicting regions with highest erosion sensitivity, severe undernourishment and lower agropotential represented the largest population (30%) and area (28%) share, mainly found in the Sahel region. The nested archetype indicating medium undernourishment, better governance and lowest erosion sensitivity showed particular potential for sustainable agricultural intensification, mainly in western and some parts of southeastern and eastern Africa. Insights into the nestedness of archetypes allowed a more differentiated discussion of vulnerability and sustainable intensification opportunities, enhancing the evaluation of key interlinkages between land management and food security. The archetypes may support the transfer of successful intensification strategies based on similarities among the drylands in sub-Saharan Africa.

Keywords: Archetype, dryland, nestedness, pattern, socio-ecological, sustainable intensification

Contact Address: Diana Sietz, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Sociology of Development and Change, Wageningen, The Netherlands, e-mail: diana.sietz@wur.nl