

Community-based goat breeding programs in Malawi: set-up and first experiences

Wilson Nandolo 1,3 Maria Wurzinger¹ Henry Mulindwa⁴ Doreen Lamuno¹

Gábor Mészáros¹

Curt van Tassell² Timothy Gondwe³ Johann Sölkner¹

NARO

¹University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Dept. of Sustainable Agricultural Systems, Austria; ²USDA, United States; ³Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources; ⁴National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO), National Livestock Resources Research Institute,

Uganda

What's this work about?

The African Goat Improvement Network (AGIN) is trying to improve goat production in Malawi

Strategy

Genetically improve goats through selection in community-based breeding programs

Philosophy

- Keep
- Simple and
- •Sustainable



Stage →

Stakeholder awareness, community identification **Definition of** breeding objectives

Assembly of breeding populations

Data recording & data management

Buck selection & management

Stakeholders supported the project idea

Farmers prefer bigger animals with higher twinning rates

tagged (291 farmers, 2556 goats)

Goats were ear-

Pedigree and performance data were collected

Bucks were selected from February 2016

Farmers made rules for

ownership, sharing and

utilization of selected

bucks

Outputs ->

2 sites were identified and characterized They agreed to share selected bucks for breeding

Tissue samples were collected for genotyping

A few farmers

have their goats

identified

kid births

Farmers were not willing to freely share their bucks, despite initial assurance. Arrangements were negotiated.

Lessons

and

Issues \rightarrow

Goats had high off-take rates experiences

Same breeding objective, different weights on traits

Improving local animals without bringing in new genetic resources is a new idea

If a recording system is simple enough, useful performance data can be acquired

Buck sharing issues should be sorted out thoroughly before the first selection





The buck selection process

were reluctant to Late reporting of

- Bucks were ranked into three categories based on weight at 4 months
- Farmers were asked to re-categorize the bucks using any other criteria of importance to them
- They selected bucks deemed good for breeding (mostly from the best category)

Current status and way forward

- Selected bucks were distributed and utilization has started
- Data recording is being done and further buck selections will be done every 6 months

Conclusion

- Community-based goat breeding programs may work
- Pay close attention to the specific circumstances of the community and the local environment