

# How would smallholders like to access hybrid maize seeds? BiomassWeb Evidence from a choice experiment on the attributes of seed distribution system in Ethiopia

#### <u>Tilahun Woldie Mengistu<sup>1</sup></u>, Saurabh Gupta<sup>1</sup> and Regina Birner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univertity of Hohenheim, Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute) Chair of Social and Institutional Change in Agricultural Development (490C)

#### **Problem Statement**

- Ensuring access to high quality seeds remains a challenge in many African countries
- o Seed system in Ethiopia, especially the hybrid maize distribution and marketing has remained under the control of state-sponsored cooperatives • Research suggests that state-run cooperatives have not been very efficient (Alemu et al.,2010)

## Results from the Choice Experiment

- Three classes of farmers identified using the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) with the class share of 27.2%, 46.5% and 26.3% respectively
- In general, the Choice Experiment implies that

- To rectify the problem, an important policy shift was made in 2013, called the 'Direct Seed Marketing' (DSM)
- o DSM authorizes seed enterprises to directly sale hybrid maize seeds via cooperatives, private traders or governmental development agents
- o Lack of empirical evidence on how smallholders prefer to access hybrid maize seeds, and which attributes of the seed distribution system matter the most to them

#### Methods and Data

- A choice experiment focusing on 6 hybrid seed distribution attributes conducted (See table below)
- o Attributes identified through expert interviews and focus group discussions with farmers
- Household survey with 325 randomly selected farmers in the maize belts of Oromia and Amhara regions (Bako and Mecha districts respectively)
- o D-Optimal design, 10 Choice Sets drawn as an optimum minimum and two alternatives + the status quo constructed (see sample below)

- Only 2% prefer the Status Quo alternative
  - 50.7 % & 47.3 % have chosen alternatives 1 and 2 respectively
- > The results of the LCM on farmers' attribute specific preference is shown in the table below:

| Variables                      | Class 1   | Class 2         | Class 3          |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| Sales Outlet                   | ~0.227 (0.24)   | 0.489***(0.07)  | 0.747***(0.10)   |  |  |
| Seed Purity Levels             |   |                 |                  |  |  |
| About 80%                      | 6.828***(1.51)  | 3.358***(0.80)  | 1.033***(0.27)   |  |  |
| About 95%                      | 7. 303***(1.48)   | 4.911***(0.81)  | ~0.993**(0.37)   |  |  |
| About 99%                      | 5. 445***(1.48)   | 4.019***(0.82)  | 0.233(0.36)      |  |  |
| Quantity (+50%)                | ~0.249(0.29)  | ~1.006***(0.09) | 0.724***(0.12)   |  |  |
| Group                          | 2.824***(0.34)  | ~0.325***(0.07) | 0.569***(0.11)   |  |  |
| Credit (50%)                   | ~0.027(0.34)  | 0.555***(0.07)  | 0.004(0.11)      |  |  |
| Price                          | ~0.036***(0.007)  | 0.019***(0.002) | ~0.009***(0.002) |  |  |
| Class Membership Variables     |   |                 |                  |  |  |
| Constant                       | 2.820   | ~3.488          |                  |  |  |
| Gender (1=male)                | 0.975   | 1.422           |                  |  |  |
| Education                      | 0.135   | 0.156**         |                  |  |  |
| Irrig access(dum)              | 0.091   | 0.797**         |                  |  |  |
| Landln                         | 0.249   | 0.178           |                  |  |  |
| Outlet distance( minute)       | 0.044 ***   | 0.020**         |                  |  |  |
| Market access(dum)             | ~0.826 *  | ~0.519          |                  |  |  |
| District (dum)                 | ~1.327**  | ~2.809***       |                  |  |  |
| Coop_Memb.(dum)                | ~0.765*   | 0.556           |                  |  |  |
| TTLU                           | ~0.106  | ~0.218**        |                  |  |  |
| Class share (%)                | 27.2  | 46.5            | 26.3             |  |  |
| Number of observation          | 9750  | 9750            | 9750             |  |  |
| Number of respondents          | 325   | 325             | 325              |  |  |
| Log-likelihood                 | ~1882.4263  | ~1882.4263      | ~1882.4263       |  |  |
| Standard errors in parentheses | Standard errors in parentheses *p<0.1, **p<0.05, ***p<0.01 Only few covariates reported |                 |                  |  |  |

o Farmers' preference of the distribution attributes was modeled using the latent class logit model (LCM)

| <u>No</u> | Attribute    | Description of Attributes                 | Status Quo       | Levels           |
|-----------|--------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| 1         | Sales Outlet | Number of hybrid maize seed sales         | 1                | 2,3,4            |
|           |              | outlets                                   |                  |                  |
| 2.        | Seed Purity  | Expected levels of purity in terms of     | About 75%        | About 80%        |
|           |              | germination, yield potential, defects and |                  | About 95%        |
|           |              | mix-ups with other off types              |                  | About 99%        |
| 3         | Seed         | Amount of hybrid maize seed a farmer      | Limited          | Half Increment,  |
|           | Quantity     | can buy at a time                         |                  | Double Increment |
| 4         | Group        | Partnering with someone to buy seed       | Individual/Group | Individual/group |
|           | Formation    | less than the minimum package size (i.e.  |                  |                  |
|           |              | 12.5kg)                                   |                  |                  |
| 5         | Credit       | Mode of payment during seed purchase      | 0%(No)           | Half (50%),      |
|           | Provision    |   |                  | Full (100%)      |
| 6         | Price of     | Average price of 12.5 kg hybrid maize     | 600(350)         | 475, 500, 575    |
|           | Seed         | seeds in ETB                              |                  |                  |

#### Sample Choice Card/Set

### Way Forward and Policy Implication

- Seed quality, outlet number and mode of payment are **positively** and homogeneously preferred by majority. Implies the need to:
- $\rightarrow$  streamline efforts and keep the promises of DSM, and enhance competition and thereby seed quality
- → opt for alternative modes of payments
- → increase sales outlet number
- Significant preference heterogeneity to group, quantity and price Ο attributes implies the need to:
- → open up alternative minimum packaging size



- $\rightarrow$  realignment of the DSM or opt for alternative approaches
- Overall, the result implies that 'one size doesn't fit all' Ο
- Therefore, an approach that intersects farmers' preferences and Ο considers their social and economic circumstances should be in place

#### www.biomassweb.org

Attribute

**Contact: Tilahun Woldie Mengistu** E-mail: Tilahun.Mengistu@uni-hohenheim.de



SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development