How do local agro-pastoralists judge their forage resources? Using quantitative ethnoecological approach in West Africa

John-Baptist S. N. Naah¹

¹Institute of Geography, University of Cologne, Albertus Magnus Platz, D-50923 Cologne, Germany.

Introduction & research objective

- Around the world, more than 40% of the terrestrial landmass is covered by drylands¹.
- About 38% of the arid zones are located in West Africa's savanna ecosystems².
- However, studies on how local agro-pastoralists valuate their forage resources for cattle, goats & sheep have still been vastly under-documented.
- Therefore, this study aims to find out how local agro-pastoralists judge forage plants for livestock production.

Materials & methods

- performed 526 ethnobotanical interviews among local agropastoralists via stratified random sampling based on ethnicity, gender & age variables of local agro-pastoralists.
- This research was also conducted along a steep climatic aridity ulletgradient covering 16 villages (7 villages in Ghana & 9 villages in Burkina Faso; Fig.1).
- I used Cognitive Salience Index (CSI)³ and descriptive statistics via ulletAnthropac 4.0 and SPSS vs 23 soft wares respectively for the analyses.





Fig.1: Ethnic map depicting major ethnic groups (Dagbani, Gurunsi and Mossi) situated within a gradient of increasing climatic aridity from south to north. Map

Results & Discussion

56.65%

Forage type for rainy season

Grass

Forage type for cattle

Grass

Forage type for dry season

Grass Tree

Forage type for

⊡Grass □Tree **™**Crop

goats

33.08%

26.24%

edited by G. Forkuor.

11.79%

15.59%

36.12%

72.62%

- Seasonal preference:
- **Rainy season**: Herbaceous plants perceived as most suitable.
- season: Crops and > Dry woody vegetation regarded as most palatable.
- Livestock preference: \bullet
- > **Cattle**: Grasses & forbs most important.
- > Goats & sheep: Crop residues and leaves of trees & shrubs.
- be attributable to This their may phenological stage & availability.

43.35% 45.63% 30.42% 18.25% Forage type for sheep Grass 24.71% 48.67% 26.62% Fig.2: Proportions of forage plant types ranked by local farmers as most palatable in different seasons and for cattle, goats and sheep production.

Species preference:

- > Pennisetum pedicellatum Trin was most salient for the rainy season and and 0.301) (CSIs: 0.413 cattle respectively.
- > Arachis hypogaea L. was highly salient for the dry season, goats and sheep (CSI: 0.318, 0.275 and 0.297) respectively.
- Due to their nutritional quality for good animal health.

Also have high digestibility profiles.



- This research underlines the need for integrated use of forage resources for susainable lacksquarelivestock production and management among local agro-pastoralists to enhance their resilience to impacts of climate change.
- Further research is recommended for various aspects of local ecological knowledge.

References

¹MEA. 2005. Ecosystems and human well-being: Desertification synthesis. World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., USA. ²SWAC/OECD. 2008. Livestock and regional market in the Sahel and West Africa: Potentials and challenges. Rue André Pascal, Paris, France.

³Sutrop, U. 2001. List task and a cognitive salience index. Field Methods 13:263-276.



SPONSORED BY THE



Center for Development Research Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung **Jniversity of Bonn**

WASCAL

Climate Change

Acknowledgements

- This research was funded by BMBF via WASCAL (FKZ 01LG1202A) & travel grant by AGRINATURA.
- Thankful to local informants for participating in the ethnobotanical surveys.

Contact info:

John-Baptist S. N. Naah (2016) University of Cologne Institute of Geogrphy, Albertus Magnus Platz, D-50923 Cologne, Germany

jeanlebaptist@yahoo.co.uk

