

LAND POLICIES FOR UPLAND CAMBODIA HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE AND IMPACTS ON LAND USE



Asikin YOEU^{1,2,*}, Didier PILLOT³, Jean-Michel SALLES⁴, Malyne NEANG¹

¹ Graduate School, Royal University of Agriculture, P.O. Box 2696, Chamkar Daung, 12401 Dangkor District, Phnom Penh, Cambodia ² Montpellier SupAgro, Laboratoire Montpelliérain d'Economie Théorique et Appliquée, 2 place Pierre Viala, 34060 Montpellier Cedex 2, France ³ Montpellier SupAgro, BP 5098, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France ⁴ CNRS, Laboratoire Montpelliérain d'Economie Théorique et Appliquée, 2 place Pierre Viala, 34060 Montpellier Cedex 2, France

*Corresponding author. E-mail: yasikin@rua.edu.kh;Tel: +855-23-219829/753; Fax: +855-23-219690/753



Laboratoire Montpelliéra d'Economie Théorique et Applique

BACKGROUND



ia	law, forestry law, sub-decrees on land		
IS	cession and on collective land title)		
	Before the 1992 Land Law	The 1992 Land Law	The 2001 Land Law





Possible policies impact on various stakeholders? Possible future transformation



Fieldwork was conducted in Mondulkiri province. Three villages were selected: Pu Radet, Pu Chhorb and Pu Trom.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Scenarios considered for evolution of land use changes

	Changes in Natural Rubber Price	
Hypothesis	α: High price of natural rubber 4,000 USD/ton in 2023 (starting from 1,800 USD/ton in 2013)	β: Low price of natural rubber 1,500 USD/ton in 2023 (starting from 1,800 USD/ton in 2013)
A: Policy favors private land ownership The 2001 Land Law (article 48), sub-decree 2005 on ELCs	Scenario Aa	Scenario Aß
B: Policy favors collective land ownership The 2001 Land Law (article 1), the 2002 Forestry Law, sub- decree 2009 on collective ownership	Scenario <mark>Βα</mark>	Scenario <mark>B</mark> B

Assumed impacts of scenarios





<u>Scenario Bα</u> **∧** rubber by smallholders, cassava, forest **** shifting and paddy rice = rubber by concessions Scenario BB cassava, forest shifting and paddy = rubber by concessions, rubber by smallholders

Impacts of land policies on the future land uses with regard to scenarios

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

. Tools now exist for any kind of policies but the orientation is unclear **Changes environmental resources more linked to changes in agriculture than** to natural conditions

. Policies amplify the changes due to the market, they do not reverse the changes

Decrease of shifting: less hectares or longer fallows. Which incentives?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: This research was supported by EURASIA2 project under Erasmus Mundus Partnerships Action 2 Programme. Travel grant was funded by AGRINATURA.

CONFERENCE: TROPENTAG BERLIN 2015

Humboldt-Universität Berlin, Germany September 16 - 18, 2015