# Non-gravimetric Approach to Tracing A **Changes in Water Activity During Convective Cobed Maize Drying**

Isaiah E. Muchilwa, Oliver Hensel

# INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L) plays a great role in ensuring food security in Kenya. It is also significant for its starch and oil, which are used in adhesives, medicines, soaps, cosmetics and several other products. Climate change, however, is putting a strain on its production and preservation. Yield losses, associated with inadequate drying and subsequent aflatoxin contamination, are on the rise and natural (sun) drying is no longer an attractive option. Early harvesting has been recommended as a preventive strategy against the opportunistic agents of spoilage and waste, but it requires provision of forced drying facilities customised to handle maize on the cob. Importing finished technology helps, but only a little and the development of home-grown capacity to design and fabricate drying solutions is the way to go.

The traditional drying experiment is gravimetric, i.e. drying is described mainly in terms of weight change. For products with long –

Prof. Dr. Oliver Hensel **UNIKASSEL** agrartechnik@uni-kassel.de V E R S I T A T

Isaiah Etemo Muchilwa imuchilwa@vahoo.co.uk



term drying, the weighing process is tedious and prone to error even when automated systems are employed. A multi-dimensional approach, combining experimentation with computational methods, was adopted in this study to characterise drying of maize ears, with a focus not just on weight but also temperature and humidity (or water activity) of the product and its immediate environment.

## **METHODOLOGY: THE NON GRAVIMETRIC TECHNIQUE**

The temperature of a wet ear inserted abruptly into a flowing stream of hot air increases in a piecewise constant manner, representing a series of equilibrium levels between the rate of convective heat gain and rate of evaporative heat loss.

$$H_{wv} \frac{dX}{dt} = ha(T_a - T) \approx ha(T_e - T) \text{ since as } t \to \infty, T_e \to T_a \qquad (1)$$

 $H_{wv}$  is the water latent heat of vaporisation, T is the variable ear temperature and  $T_{\rho}$  is the final or equilibrium ear temperature at  $a_w = 0$ . For falling rate drying, the maximum condition occurs at the start of drying  $(T = T_0)$ 

$$H_{wv}\frac{dX}{dt}\Big|_{\max} = ha(T_a - T_o) \approx ha(T_e - T_o) \qquad (2)$$

Dividing the first two expressions and neglecting changes in the heat transfer coefficients, yields the following simplified expression for the variable drying rate:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{dX}{dt} \bigg|_{\max} \times \frac{T_e - T}{T_e - T_o}$$
(3)

The drying rate is derived from the temperature curves. A spreadsheet is used to intergrate the drying rate between the known initial and final ear conditions using experimental temperature profiles. An optimal solution for the drying rate equation (3) is then sought using the Microsoft Excel Solver<sup>TM</sup> add-in.

Influence of drying on temperature curves



# Microsoft Excel 2007 Solver<sup>™</sup> interface

💽 🖉 - (° - ) =	NGA EFTA 29082013 2007.xlsx - Microsoft Excel								- 🗇 🛛 🕹
Home Insert	Page Layout Formulas Data Review View								i 🕑 🗕 🗖 🗍
From From From Ot Access Web Text Source Get External Da	her Existing s Connections All Connect	onnections roperties dit Links $\mathbb{A} \downarrow \mathbb{A} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{A}$ $\mathbb{Z} \downarrow \mathbb{A} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{A}$ FilZ \downarrow $\mathbb{A} \downarrow \mathbb{A} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{A}$ SortFilSort $\mathcal{E}$ Sort $\mathcal{E}$	K Clear Reapply ter Advanced K Filter	Remove Data C Duplicates Validation ~ Data Tools	consolidate What-If Analysis *	Group Ungroup Subt	e Table Detail	♣ Solver Analysis	
B5732 • fx =G5732+L5732									
A	В	С	D	E		F	G		Н
1 Experiment ID.			4			Solver Para	meters	×	inal
2 Start date / Time		30th July, 2013, 14.00 pm	pm			meters		3.26	
3 Stop date / Time		3	d August, 2013, 13.06 pm		Set Target Cell:	Set Target Cell: \$8\$5732			7.4
4 Temperature setting					Equal To: <u>Max</u> Min <u>Value of:</u> 83.26 Close				i9.4
5 Velocity setting	Hig				By Changing Cells:		_		13.5
6 Sample			Unhusked maize		\$D\$11:\$D\$17		Guess		173
7 Initial mass, grams					Subject to the Cons	traints:		Options	123
8 Final mass, grams	83.				\$D\$14 <= 45		∧ <u>A</u> dd		132
9 Oven dried mass, grams			77.06		\$D\$15 >= \$E\$15-5 \$D\$16 <= 45	0	Change		100
10					\$D\$17 >= \$E\$17-5 \$C\$5732 = 13.5	5	Change	Reset All	
11	Maximum cob drying rate R	ate, g water/min	0.009756847	\$H\$32 <= 5			✓ <u>D</u> elete	Help	
12	Maximum kernel drying rate	e Rate, g water/min	0.067302083					<u>T</u> ob	1293948
13	Initial cob Mass		23.0000059	Limits	Li marcon n		5.057445507	J. J.	
14	Equilibrium cob Temperature, oC		43.67	43.31					
15	Threshold cob temperature	, oC	29.19	29.54					
16	Equilibrium kernel Temperature, oC		43.03	43.45					
17	Threshold kernel temperate	ure, oC	34.15	34.49					
18				•_					
19 20 Data Timo	Equipment mass grams	Gravimetric Analysis (Stat	Time (minutes)	IC Maistura contant	% wh Statigrav	motric mass, grams		_	Diffac
20 Date fille	A221				Jown Stati grav	129 59	120 50		0
22 30/07/2013	4231	2.03	122	44.4		132.58	132.82	0.0	056788728
30/07/2013	422.5	8.65	519	36.6		121 58	121 54	0.	001900449
24 31/07/2013 10:30	4200	20.40	1224	28.4		107.58	107.47	0.	011818567
	05 / Exp6 / data extract exp3	Sheet1 / 💱	1227	20.4		207.00	107.47		>11010507
Point Average: 29.52112904 Count: 2 Sum: 29.52112904									
6 🖂 💥	🗧 💼 😰				Alteres.		- 😼 🕄 🍕	🕕 😻 ENG	11:59

To validate the new approach, wet dehusked maize ears were halved and dried in a unique water activity profiler, at temperatures of  $38^{\circ}$  C,  $45^{\circ}$  C and  $55^{\circ}$  C, with air supply in the range 7-14 m<sup>3</sup>/hour. Temperature readings from the ear center, ear surface and free stream were logged at intervals of 1 minute using a PicoLog TC-08, thermocouple recorder. Static weighing was carried out at intervals of approximately 12 hours.

Simultaneous drying of the kernels and cob was assumed and parallel evaluations made based on their respective temperature profiles, and a summation of the two independent outcomes done to give the ear drying rate. The solver was the applied to find an optimal solution that matches the model with actual experimental data collected at the start and end of the experiment, as well as the intermediate calibration points.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

results of the validation The tests are summarised in the graphs that follow. Very







40

24

32

Cobed maize drying at 38oC (airflow ≈ 14 m3h-1

Cob moisture content (new approach

Ear surface temperature

 Cob drying rate, mg wate Kernel drving rate, mg water/

Moisture ratio kernel

Moisture ratio ear





S

S

Π

good consistency was observed comparing the new approach with the traditional gravimetric drying curve analysis. As expected, drying with higher airflow and at higher temperature resulted in shorter drying times. The drying rate is relatively high only in the first 3 to 5 hours (consistent with the theory of free and water movement). Kernels were bound observed to dry at a faster rate than the cob. This uneven drying was more pronounced at 55°C. The cob moisture content affects the thresh-ability of the ear. The results give good insight into the role of different variables on the drying process of maize ears.



### 0.2 0.4 0.6 Ear moisture ratio, MR (traditional gravimetric approach)

### CONCLUSION

A novel way to characterise drying of maize ears has been developed. The new technique extraction of much facilitates more qualitative and quantitative information from the drying experiment and provides useful leads for further investigations into quality, remote sensing, process energy use, optimisation and control. It is an invaluable resource for technicians seeking to develop forced-air drying solutions for bulky products.

0.2

0.4

Ear moisture ratio, MR (traditional gravimetric approach)

0.8

kassel.de ar.uniwww.agr