Agroforestry for Resilient Agroecosystems in the Mid-Hills of Nepal

Niels Schwab[1,2] , Udo Schickhoff[2]

Universität Hamburg
DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

KAULE e.V.
Nachhaltig wirken

Agroforestry (AF) land (red line) surrounded by conventional crop rotating system. AF land has been established by one farmer for 15 years.

Material

• Study area: Mid-Hills of Nepal, village 'Kaule', 15km NW of Kathmandu, 1850m a.s.l.
• Soil and vegetation sampling
• 3 Agroecosystems: A) Agroforestry-System (AF), mature, 15 years established

B) System in transition to AF (for 2 years)

C) Conventional (crop rotation) system

• In case of soil sampling: separate sampling of fields and terrace risers

field
terrace riser

Questions:

Agroforestry system **vs.** Conventional crop rotation system

1) Differences in **soil properties**?

2) Differences in **phytodiversity**?

Methods - Soil

Soil sampling: - Field samples and terrace riser samples, 32 samples each system (n=96)
- At the lab: analyses for soil samples' main parameters
→ Comparison: ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test

Methods - Vegetation

Vegetation sampling: - Crop, tree & shrub layer, 8 plots each system (=24 plots), abundance & cover
- Comparison of species richness (Kruskal-Wallis test)
- Alpha-diversity: $^qD_\alpha$ –diversity measure, weighting for abundance and for dominant species (JOST 2007)

Results - Soil

‣ significant differences:

AF ↔ conventional system

A: agroforestry system
B: transition to AF system
C: conventional crop rotation

Likewise significant differences in:

• Total C, total N, SOM, electric conductivity, base saturation

Terrace risers: not intentionally managed

‣ **no** significant differences between both ecosystems

A: agroforestry system
B: transition to AF system
C: conventional crop rotation

Results - Vegetation

A: agroforestry system
B: transition to AF system
C: conventional crop rotation

Species richness:

• Significant higher number of species in both agroforestry and transition land compared to conventional land use system

• 3 most common species: *Ficus neriifolia*, *Buddleja asiatica*, *Alnus nepalensis*

Alpha- (α-) diversity:

• Order 0: ≅ species richness
• Order 1: abundance weighted
• Order > 1: dominance weighted

A: agroforestry system
B: transition to AF system
C: conventional crop rotation

Discussion – Soil:

• Although the transition process to agroforestry has been in progress for only 2 years in 'B' (transition land), soil parameters already reflect the shift to restoring farm soil fertility.
• Terrace risers' soil parameters are not correlated with management → validation of management's influence on the fields' soil conditions.

Discussion – Vegetation:

• Species richness of agroforestry lands' tree and shrub layers is higher compared to conventional lands'.
→ AF: highest alpha-diversity
→ Transition land: alpha-diversity resembles AF-system
→ Dominance-weighting reduces differences between the three agrosystems.

Conclusion: Population growth results in intensified land use in developing countries' mountain areas, which is the case for the Mid-Hills of Nepal. Poor nutrient conditions and susceptibility to erosion characterize the region's prevalent soils. These are influenced highly by management as the results show. Agroforestry systems offer farmers and their families an alternative to traditional farming that can be advantageous in terms of productivity, biodiversity, and ecosystem based services provided.

[1]Kaule e.V., Bonn, Germany - [2]University of Hamburg, Institute of Geography, Germany - contact: nielsschwab@gmx.net

Reference: JOST, L. (2007): Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. Ecology 88, 10: 2427–2439