Dendrometrical characterization of a common Plant species (Anogeissus leiocarpa (DC.) Guill. & Perr.)



# in Pendjari Biosphere Reserve and in a surrounding land use area (Benin-West Africa).

Houéhanou Thierry.<sup>1</sup>, Kindomihou Valentin <sup>2</sup>, Houinato Marcel<sup>3</sup> & Sinsin Brice<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Applied Ecology - Faculty of Agronomic Sciences University of Abomey-Calavi; Republic of Benin



<sup>1</sup>houehanout@yahoo.fr - <sup>2</sup>vkindomihou@yahoo.fr - <sup>3</sup>mrhouinat@yahoo.fr - <sup>4</sup>bsinsin@gmail.com

#### Introduction

In Western and Eastern Africa, Anogeissus leiocarpa population dynamic at forest borders in northern Guinea and Sudanian zones was slightly documented (Poilecot et al., 1991). Certainly, protected areas are important for the biodiversity conservation (IUCN, 1994). Since the species is more used for firewood in the Pendjari Biosphere Reserve (PBR) peripheral, do the status of the A. leiocarpa population change from the reserve to the land use area? The present study aims to assess and compare dendrometrical characteristics of the species between protected and land use areas.

### **Methods**

#### **Study area**



Pendjari Biosphere Reserve and Peripheral Townships of Tanguieta and Materi land use areas were prospected

These occurred at North-Western 0°50'-

Climate is sudanian at a unimodal.

**Figure 4**. Height class distribution of Anogeissus leiocarpa in Park and in land use

Land use area	a 14,09		0	,48			
3. Comparative of Table 2: Anoge p<0.	<i>her dendrometr</i> issus leiocarpa .001; *: p<0.0	<i>rical parameter</i> a dendrometri 5; NS: non sig	s ( <b>Reserve versi</b> cal parameters nificant.	us land use areas) s (Mean ± standa	ard error); ***:		
Parameters Area	D (cm)	G (m²/ha)	H (m)	D <sub>1</sub> (stem/ha)	D <sub>2</sub> (stem/ha)		
Reserve	17,82 ± 3,06	9,71± 5,64	9,46 ± 3,21	230,30 ±185,33	$274,74 \pm 74$		
Land use area	22,39 ± 5,55	9,49 ± 4,18	10,03 ± 3,22	$104,76 \pm 78,79$	176,19 ± 53, 45		
Significance	***	NS	NS	*	*	Photo 1: Anogeissus leiocarpa in Riparian forest in land use area	Photo 2: Stand of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpa</i> in mosaic savannah–forest in Pendjar
Discussion							Biosphere Reserve
The size class cm to 60 cm has in which A. leio	s distribution of ve expressed an <i>carpa</i> occurred	diameter show important num in savannahs (v	ved important nu ober in the perip with more small	umber of individua heral (Riparian for size class) and in f	ls in the small clas rest habitat) than in forests (with more	s diameter (Fig. 1 & 2) of both the PBR and land use the Reserve (Mosaic savannah – forest). This result c individuals of big size class).	areas. However, bigger diameter classes from 30 corroborates findings of Hennenberg <i>et al</i> , (2005)

\* The use of A. leiocarpa as firewood is reducing Riparian forest sizes, which are mostly represent a sacrifice site for certain human populations. While Riparian forest habitats are conserved individuals of woodlands are more cut for the fire in land use area.

\* The height class (8–12 m) showed the high density of individuals in both areas (Fig. 3). But the bigger density in the land use areas compared to the Park is consistent with the presence of big individuals in those areas.

\* The median dbh is higher in land use area than in the Reserve (Table 1), indicating important bigger diameter individuals of land use areas compared to the Reserve. A. leiocarpa population is younger

in Reserve than in land use area. The positives values of Skewness' coefficient in both areas indicate a positive asymmetry of the diameter class distributions.

#### Conclusion

\*Anogeissus leiocarpa would be threatened in the peripheral while the population is apparently healthy in the Reserve.

**\*** But the traditional practices appeared to be favourable for the presence of bigger diameter individuals in riparian forests.

**\*** These individuals can assume the seed production and the regeneration of young stand.

#### References

1. Feely, K. J., Davies, S. J. Noor, Md. N., Kassim, A. R. and Tan, S. 2007. Do current stem size distributions predict future population changes? An empirical test of intraspecific patterns in tropical trees at two spatial scales. Journal of Tropical Ecology 23: 191-198.

2. Hennenberg K.J., Goetze D., Minden V., Traoré D. and Porembski S. 2005. Size-class distribution of Anogeissus leiocarpa (Combretaceae) along forest-savanna ecotones in northern Ivory Coast. Journal of Tropical Ecology 21: 273-281.

3. IUCN 1994. Liste des Nations Unies des parcs nationaux et des aires protégées 1993. 308p.

4. Jayaraman, K. 1999. A Statistical Manual For Forestry Research. Forestry Research Support Programme for Asia and the Pacific. FAO. 231p.

5. Poilecot P., Bonfou K. Dosso M., Lauginie F. Ndri K. Nicole M. and Sangare Y. 1991. Un écosystème de savane soudanienne. Le Parc National de la Comoé (Côte d'Ivoire). Projet UNESCO/PNUD. Note technique 2. Paris 346p.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to BIOTA and the Laboratory of Applied Ecology (FSA-UAC-Benin) for the financial and scientific assistances.