



**Tropentag 2008**  
**Hohenheim, October 7-9, 2008**  
**Conference on International Agricultural Research for development**

---

## **Forage Nutrition of Range Grasses Growing in Northeastern Mexico**

Roque G. Ramírez-Lozano<sup>1\*</sup>, Rocio Morales-Rodriguez<sup>2</sup>, Andrea Cerrillo-Soto<sup>3</sup>, Humberto González-Rodríguez<sup>4</sup>, Arturo Juárez-Reyes<sup>3</sup>, Maribel Guerrero-Crevantes<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>University of Nuevo León, Department of Food Sciences. PO Box 142, Sucursal F, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León, 66450, Mexico. \*Correspondance autor: Email: roqramir@gmail.com.

<sup>3</sup>University Juarez of the State of Durango, Medicine Veterinary School, Mexico. Km 11.5 Carretera Durango-Mezquital, CP 34280, Durango.

<sup>4</sup>University of Nuevo León, Faculty of Forest Sciences, Mexico. Km. 12.5 carr. Linares-Cd. Victoria, Linares, NL, México

### **Introduction**

There are many advantages of native grass species because they are drought-resistant and require low input costs. These characteristics make them very suitable for inclusion in a balanced and sustainable grazing system, particularly in low rainfall areas. Moreover, many native grasses are well adapted to surviving the heat and lack of moisture typical of many areas of northeastern Mexico and South Texas, U.S. (Ramirez *et al.*, 2004). These characteristics include special growth characteristics, such as thickened stems at the base for food storage and a corky integument over the roots to protect them against excessive heat. Some species have a different leaf structure from those species adapted to the higher rainfall areas. This leaf structure gives the plant small, thickened leaves or bristle-like leaves that help to reduce the amount of moisture that is transpired from the leaf surfaces. However, high levels of ash in most of the native grasses indicate the presence of high amounts of silica which may reduce digestibility (Van Soest, 1994).

The quality of range grasses is correlated with season and plant phenology. There are usually parts of each year when the nutritional value of vegetation is high and low. Typically, plants are of highest quality during their growing season. However, within the growing season there may be significant differences in nutritional quality between early- and late-growing season (Martin-Rivera and Ibarra-Flores, 1989). Mature vegetation has a higher proportion of cell walls to cell contents and is less nutritious than young vegetation. During the early growth stages range grasses are highly digestible; however, decline rapidly as the season advances (Ramirez *et al.*, 2004). Once into the dormant season, grasses lose quality as soluble cell contents are leached from the plants by precipitation. Matching of livestock nutritional requirements with the optimum season for nutritional quality from the range grasses is an important element of livestock production systems. For example, grasses cure well, particularly in semiarid and arid climates, and stand as an excellent source of energy during their dormant season (Van Soest, 1994).

*Rhynchelytrum repens* and *Cenchrus ciliaris* are cultivated species that were introduced to Mexico with good adaptation. Moreover, *Cenchrus ciliaris* because its wide distribution to these semiarid regions it has been considered as a naturalized grass. In addition, it has been mentioned as a south Texas and northeastern Mexico wonder grass (Hanselka, 1988); however, seasonality of rainfall and temperature are major influences on nutritional quality (Ramirez *et al.*, 2003a).

The objectives of this study were to determine and compare seasonally the chemical composition and the rate and extent of digestion of dry matter and crude protein of the forage from two cultivated and thirteen native grasses growing in northeastern Mexico.

## Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the “Sauces Ranch” of about 900 ha located in General Terán County of the state of Nuevo León, México. It is located at 25°24'26'' west longitude and 99°46'33'' north latitude, with an altitude of 272 m. The climate is typically subtropical and semi-arid with a warm summer. Mean monthly air temperature ranges from 14.7°C in January to 22.3°C in August, although daily high temperatures of 45°C are common during the summer. Peak rainfall months are May, June and September. Annual rainfall during the year of study was about 360 mm distributed as follows; 25 mm in winter, 32 mm in spring, 238 mm in summer and 65 mm in autumn. The main type of vegetation is known as the Tamaulipan Thornscrub or Subtropical Thornscrub Woodlands (SPP–INEGI, 1986). The dominant soils are deep, dark-gray, lime-gray, lime-clay Vertisoles, with montmorillonite, which shrink and swell noticeably in response to changes in soil moisture content. They are characterized by high clay and calcium carbonate contents, pH varied from 7.5 to 8.5 and low organic matter content (Foroughbakhch, 1992).

Grasses such as *Bouteloua curtipendula* (Gould & Kapadia), *Bouteloua trifida* (Thurber), *Brachiaria fasciculata* (Sw.), *Digitaria insularis* (L.), *Chloris ciliata* (Swartz.), *Leptochloa filiformis* (Lam.) Beauv., *Panicum hallii* (Vasey.), *Panicum obtusum* (H.B.K.), Parodi., *Paspalum unispicatum* (L.), *Setaria macrostachya* (H.B.K.), *Setaria grisebachii* (Fourn.), *Tridens eragrostoides* (Vasey & Scribn.) Nash, *Tridens muticus* (Torr.) Wash. And the cultivated *Cenchrus ciliaris* (L.) and *Rhynchelytrum repens* (Willd.) Hubb, were collected for nutritional studies because they represent an important source of forage for grazing ruminants in northeastern Mexico (Ramírez *et al.*, 1999). In this study, *C. ciliaris* has been considered as reference grass of good nutritional quality. Collection of grasses was made during the four seasons beginning in autumn of 2001 (October 20), followed by winter of 2002 (January 21), spring of 2002 (April 28) and summer of 2002 (July 23). As encountered in four sites, randomly located in all ranch, grasses were hand harvested until adequate amounts of material were obtained, and compositing by species in each site and in each season. Samples were stored in paper bags in the field and transported to laboratory. The sites of collection were grazed by livestock. Partial dry matter was determined subjecting samples to oven at 55° C during 72 h, then were ground in a Wiley mill (1 mm) and stored in plastic containers for further analyses.

In each season, by quadruplicate, samples were analyzed for DM, organic matter, CP, Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL; Van Soest *et al.*, 1991). The rate and extent of DM loss was estimated using the nylon bag technique. Four rumen cannulated Pelibuey x Rambouillet sheep (weighing 45 ± 3.5 kg, BW) were used to incubate the nylon bags (5 x 10 cm, 53 µm of pore size), which contained ground (4 g) samples of each grass species. During the trial, sheep were fed alfalfa hay *ad libitum*. Incubation periods were at 4, 8, 12, 24, 36 and 48 h. Upon removal from the rumen, bags were washed in cold water. Zero time disappearance was obtained by washing unincubated bags in similar fashion, and then bags were dried at 55° C in an oven for 48-h. Disappearance of DM for each incubation period was calculated by:

$$\text{DM disappearance, \%} = (\text{Initial weight} - \text{final weight}) / (\text{initial weight}) \times 100$$

Digestion characteristics of DM were calculated using the equation of Ørskov and McDonald (1979):

$$p = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$$

Where:

**p** is disappearance rate of DM at time **t**,

**a** is an intercept representing the portion of DM solubilized at the beginning of incubation (time 0),

**b** is the portion of DM that is slowly degraded in the rumen,

**c** is the rate constant of disappearance of fraction **b** and

**t** is the time of incubation.

The nonlinear parameters **a**, **b** and **c** and effective degradability of DM (EDDM) =  $(a+b)c/(c+k)(e^{-(c+k)t})$ , were calculated using the Neway computer program (McDonald, 1981); **k** is the estimated rate

of rumen out flow and **LT** is the time lag. The EDDM of samples were estimated assuming a rumen out flow rate of 2 % h<sup>-1</sup>.

Metabolizable energy content was estimated by the *in vitro* gas production technique, in which 200 mg sample of each grass was incubating in rumen liquor *in vitro* and calculated according to Menke and Steingass, (1988) equation: ME (Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup> DM) = (2.20 + 0.136 gas production at 24 h + 0.057 crude protein + 0.0029 crude fat<sup>2</sup>)/4.184. Metabolizable protein content was determined using the PDI system principles (Verite *et al.* 1987). Main variables used to calculate the metabolizable protein content were: CP content, effective degradability of CP, *in vitro* OM digestibility (Daisy II ANKOM Technology, Macedon NY USA), forage fat content and organic matter fermented in the rumen. It was assumed that intestinal protein digestibility of bypass protein varied from 0.65 to 0.75.

Data were statistically analyzed using an experimental design of two ways of classification (being grasses and seasons the study factors), with interaction between seasons and grasses. The interaction seasons x grasses was significant (P<0.05), thus analyses of variance were carried out among seasons and among grasses within seasons. (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

### Results and Discussion

The CP content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons. *B. fasciculata*, *C. ciliate*, *P. obtusum* and *S. grisebachii* had higher content and *R. repens* was lower (Table 1). Most of the grasses had CP values higher than *C. ciliaris*. All of the grasses exhibited their most rapid increase in CP content in summer and autumn seasons. These seasonal fluctuations in CP content may be induced by summer (238 mm) and autumn (65 mm) precipitations. Other studies have shown seasonal fluctuations in native grasses. Hendrichson and Briske (1997) reported that *H. berlanderi* had CP content of 13% in summer and reaches a low nearly of 2% in winter. Moreover, Dittberner and Olson (1983) showed that *B. gracilis* (aerial fresh immature) that grow in Wyoming, USA, had CP values of 11% in summer and 6% in winter when plants were in a dormant period. Studies carried out in Sonora, Mexico (Martin-Rivera and Ibarra-Flores, 1989) have reported that *B. gracilis*, *Aristida* spp. and *S. macrostachya*, during spring and summer of 1989, had CP values of 5 and 10%, 5 and 9%, 7 and 10%, respectively. Moreover, Ramirez *et al.* (2004) found that *C. ciliaris*, *P. hallii*, *B. gracilis* and *S. macrostachya* had annual means of CP content higher in spring and autumn seasons. However, Huston *et al.* (1981) reported that *P. hallii* growing in Texas, USA, had CP content of 7% in both summer and autumn seasons.

The CP content in forages serves as a reliable measure of nutritional quality (Ganskoop and Bohnert, 2001). If a 7.5 % CP level is considered as an adequate forage quality threshold because it falls within the range of values suggested for maintenance of beef cattle (NRC, 2000). Thus, in this study, all grasses in most seasons can be considered of high nutritional quality for grazing ruminants. However, CP concentration in plants is influenced mainly by the supply the available N in soil and the state of maturity. Studies carried out in the same area by Ramirez *et al.* (2002), Ramirez *et al.* (2003ab) and Ramirez *et al.* (2005) reported that CP content in cultivated grasses such as *Panicum coloratum*, *Cenchrus ciliaris*, *Cynodon dactylon* and *Dichanthium annulatum*, respectively, and in native grasses such as *Aristida longiseta*, *Bouteloua gracilis*, *P. hallii*, *Cenchrus incertus*, *Hilaria berlanderi* and *S. macrostachya* (Ramirez *et al.* 2004) markedly decline as the plant increase in maturity, possible because the relatively increase in cell wall and decrease in cytoplasm. It is possible that this effect could be manifested in winter and spring in evaluated grasses of this study, because in these seasons grasses showed the lowest CP content.

Cell wall (NDF) content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons. *Setaria grisebachii* resulted with higher annual mean and *P. unispicatum* was lower. During winter and spring NDF was higher than in other seasons (Table 1). With exception of *B. curtipendula* and *R. repens* all grasses had cellulose concentration significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons.

Table 1. Seasonal and annual means of the crude protein, neutral detergent fiber, cellulose and acid detergent lignin in grasses

Grasses	CP, % DM							NDF, % DM						
	Seasons				M	SEM	Sig	Seasons				M	SEM	Sig
	w	sp	su	f				w	sp	su	f			
<i>B. curtipendula</i>	9	8	12	14	11	0.2	***	79	72	70	72	74	0.1	***
<i>B. trifida</i>	8	8	15	13	11	0.1	***	75	76	70	76	74	0.2	***
<i>B. fasciculata</i>	11	10	16	18	14	0.1	***	72	63	62	60	65	0.3	***
<i>C. ciliaris</i>	10	10	15	13	12	0.1	***	72	72	70	71	72	0.2	***
<i>C. ciliata</i>	12	10	18	15	14	0.1	***	71	67	65	70	68	0.04	***
<i>D. insularis</i>	11	7	13	13	11	0.2	***	70	75	69	75	70	0.1	***
<i>L. filiformis</i>	11	10	12	15	12	0.2	***	75	67	73	66	70	0.1	***
<i>P. hallii</i>	11	8	16	18	13	0.1	***	74	67	66	71	70	0.2	***
<i>P. obtusum</i>	12	13	17	15	14	0.1	***	74	65	61	66	65	0.2	***
<i>P. unispicatum</i>	9	9	13	13	11	0.1	***	70	69	64	67	68	0.2	***
<i>R. repens</i>	9	7	11	11	10	0.2	***	73	74	69	73	72	0.1	***
<i>S. grisebachii</i>	9	13	17	15	14	0.1	***	73	81	61	73	69	0.1	***
<i>S. macrostachya</i>	11	12	16	11	13	0.1	***	73	68	63	72	69	0.1	***
<i>T. eragrostoides</i>	12	13	17	11	13	0.1	***	73	74	70	76	73	0.1	***
<i>T. muticus</i>	8	8	16	12	11	0.1	***	78	72	70	76	75	0.1	***
Seasonal means	10	10	15	14	12			73	69	67	72	70		
SEM	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1				0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6			
Significant level	***	***	***	***				***	***	***	***			

Table 1 Continued.-

	Cellulose, % DM							ADL, % DM						
	w	sp	su	f	M	SEM	Sig	w	sp	su	f	M	SEM	Sig
<i>B. curtipendula</i>	29	28	28	28	28	0.3	NS	6	6	5	6	6	0.1	*
<i>B. trifida</i>	30	28	30	29	29	0.2	***	7	7	5	6	6	0.1	*
<i>B. fasciculata</i>	27	23	23	22	24	0.2	***	7	6	7	5	6	0.2	**
<i>C. ciliaris</i>	33	32	31	33	32	0.4	*	3	3	3	3	3	0.4	NS
<i>C. ciliata</i>	35	32	33	32	33	0.3	*	7	5	8	2	6	0.4	***
<i>D. insularis</i>	26	27	26	28	27	0.1	*	6	5	6	5	6	0.3	**
<i>L. filiformis</i>	37	29	25	28	25	0.2	***	5	7	6	5	6	0.4	**
<i>P. hallii</i>	31	28	28	28	30	0.5	**	5	6	4	3	5	0.5	***
<i>P. obtusum</i>	28	25	25	25	26	0.3	***	6	7	6	5	6	0.6	***
<i>P. unispicatum</i>	29	27	29	28	28	0.2	*	2	4	5	6	4	0.3	***
<i>R. repens</i>	28	27	29	28	28	0.4	NS	8	6	8	8	10	0.6	**
<i>S. grisebachii</i>	28	28	23	28	27	0.6	***	6	6	6	6	6	0.2	NS
<i>S. macrostachya</i>	28	27	25	28	27	0.8	**	6	7	6	7	7	0.6	**
<i>T. eragrostoides</i>	30	31	30	32	31	0.3	*	5	5	4	6	5	0.3	***
<i>T. muticus</i>	28	27	27	29	28	0.6	**	7	7	7	7	7	0.2	NS
Seasonal means	30	28	27	28	28			6	6	6	5	6		
SEM	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4				0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1			
Significant level	***	***	***	***				**	**	**	**			

w= winter; sp= spring; su= summer; f= fall; SEM = standard error of the mean; Sig= significance; \*(P<0.05); \*\*(P<0.01); \*\*\* (P<0.001); NS = not significant  
 CP = crude protein; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ADL = acid detergent lignin.

With exception of *C. ciliaris* and *S. grisebachii*, lignin (ADL) content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons. Moreover, significant differences were found among all grasses within seasons (Table 1). *Rhynchelytrum repens* was higher and *C. ciliaris* was lower. All native grasses and *R. repens*, had lignin content, in annual mean basis, higher than *C. ciliaris*. Apparently, lignin in grasses plays an important role in the structural integrity of individual cells, tissues and organs. Moreover, the amount of lignin is positively associated with maturity of plants and this later negatively with rainfall (Hatfield *et al.*, 1993); however, in this study, even though winter and spring

had lower rainfall, lignin content was very similar when compared the mean of all grasses among seasons (Table 1).

The fraction of DM solublized at the beginning of incubation of grasses in the rumen of sheep (**a**), the fraction of DM that is slowly degraded in the rumen (**b**) and the rate constant of disappearance of fraction **b** were significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons (Table 2). The same pattern was observed in EDDM. *Cenchrus ciliaris* had higher annual mean EDDM and *B. curtipendula* and *B. trifida* were lower. In general, during summer and autumn EDDM was higher than other seasons. In all seasons, all native and *R. repens* grasses had lower EDDM than *C. ciliaris* (Table 2). It seems that CP content in grasses positively influenced the rumen digestion of DM, because of when CP increased also increased EDDM ( $r= 0.67$ ;  $P<0.001$ ). Seasonal rainfall and temperatures had the same influence ( $P=0.47$ ;  $P<0.001$ ;  $r=52$ ;  $P<0.001$ , respectively) on EDDM. Conversely, when lignin increased ( $r=-0.50$ ;  $P<0.001$ ) EDDM decreased. Positive effects of CP and precipitation on EDDM in the forage of grasses have previously reported by Ganskoop and Bohnewrt (2001). They found that when CP in seven native grasses, collected in a rangeland of the Estate of Idaho, USA, and rain precipitation increased, also increased *in vitro* dry matter digestibility. These effects have also been reported in native grasses such as *B. gracilis*, *P. hallii*, and *S. macrostachya* growing in northeastern Mexico (Ramirez *et al.*, 2004).

The ME content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons ( $P<0.01$ ). Differences among grasses within seasons were also registered except for *C. ciliata* and *P. obtusum* (Table 3). The ME values of the grasses calculated using the gas method were higher (1.58) than those reported by Juárez *et al* (2007; unpublished data) in introduced grasses from the dry tropics in Mexico (1.33 Mcal/kg DM); however are lower than those reported by Getachew *et al.* (2005), in a study evaluating 17 feed ingredients (1.84 – 3.24 Mcal/kg DM). Nonetheless, the mean values obtained in this study indicated that the energy requirements for maintenance of sheep would not be satisfied (1.8 Mcal/kg DM; NRC, 2007).

The MP content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons (Table 3). The highest MP contents in the studied grasses were observed in summer and fall (mean = 7.3 % DM), probably as a consequence of the important rainfall registered during this period of the year. It is to notice that MP of *P. obtusum* was always higher than 7.0 % DM throughout the year, whereas in other grasses the MP content varied from 5.0-8.5 % DM. The lowest MP contents were recorded during winter and spring (6.0 % DM), whereas during summer and fall the MP content increased up to 7.3 % DM, probably as a consequence of higher CP contents registered in this period. These variations in MP content in grasses throughout the year are in agreement with the fact that grazing pastures undergo important nutrient fluctuations along the seasons which may affect animal performance (Bouquier *et al.* 1988). *Tridens eragostoides*, *P. obtusum*, *S. macrostachya* had a higher MP content in winter and spring than *C. ciliaris* used as reference good quality grass. A similar pattern was observed in *P. unispicatum*, *B. curtipendula*, *P. obtusum*, and *L. filiformis* in summer and fall. Mean MP values (6.8 g/kg DM) in this study indicate that the consumption of grasses might fulfill the maintenance requirements of a 7.0 % growing beef cattle (Bouquier *et al.* 1988).

Data obtained from range sheep (Juárez *et al*, unpublished data) indicated a mean MP dietary content of 6.8 % DM. In that study, the animals mainly selected a mixture of native grasses. It is important to notice that according to the PDI system (INRA, 1988), CP concentrations above 12% might indicate that the energy content of grasses become the limiting factor; on the contrary, when the CP concentration is below 11%, nitrogen limit the growth of rumen microbiota. In the present study, most grasses reflected the latter scenario. Therefore, the MP content of grasses estimates more accurately the nutritional value of forages than other simply chemical determinations.

Table 2. Seasonal and annual means of the DM *in situ* digestibility parameters and effective degradability of DM in grasses

Grasses	a, % DM							b, % DM						
	Seasons				M	SEM	Sig	Seasons				M	SEM	Sig
	w	sp	su	f				w	sp	su	f			
<i>B. curtipendula</i>	16	19	18	20	18	0.2	***	27	24	26	24	25	0.3	**
<i>B. trifida</i>	16	21	23	21	20	0.2	***	24	21	20	25	22	0.4	**
<i>B. fasciculata</i>	18	19	36	21	23	0.3	***	28	23	32	32	29	0.4	***
<i>C. ciliaris</i>	19	26	32	23	25	0.3	***	29	28	31	36	31	0.5	***
<i>C. ciliata</i>	22	21	32	25	25	0.2	***	23	24	25	19	23	0.2	***
<i>D. insularis</i>	26	23	26	23	24	0.1	***	18	28	29	32	27	0.3	***
<i>L. filiformis</i>	18	19	20	23	20	0.2	***	27	29	34	34	31	0.5	***
<i>P. hallii</i>	18	21	26	24	22	0.2	***	21	24	34	29	27	0.5	***
<i>P. obtusum</i>	20	35	31	26	28	0.1	***	20	20	45	31	29	0.4	***
<i>P. unispicatum</i>	16	21	26	26	22	0.2	***	27	20	24	29	25	0.2	***
<i>R. repens</i>	19	25	25	23	23	0.2	***	25	21	19	23	22	0.2	***
<i>S. grisebachii</i>	18	25	32	23	24	0.2	***	27	19	44	28	29	0.4	***
<i>S. macrostachya</i>	18	21	27	22	22	0.1	***	21	22	40	24	27	0.5	***
<i>T. eragrostoides</i>	24	23	24	19	22	0.1	***	23	23	32	25	26	0.6	**
<i>T. muticus</i>	16	21	25	21	21	0.1	***	24	20	36	22	26	0.3	***
Seasonal means	19	23	27	23	23			24	23	31	27	26		
SEM	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1				0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1			
Significant level	*	**	***	**				***	**	***	**			

Table 2 Continued.-

	c, % DM							EDDM, % DM						
	w	sp	su	f	M	SEM	Sig	w	sp	su	f	M	SEM	Sig
<i>B. curtipendula</i>	5	4	6	6	5	0.2	***	35	38	36	38	37	0.2	***
<i>B. trifida</i>	5	5	6	6	6	0.2	*	33	37	38	39	37	0.1	***
<i>B. fasciculata</i>	5	6	4	6	5	0.1	***	38	36	58	45	44	0.3	***
<i>C. ciliaris</i>	6	7	3	6	6	0.2	***	39	47	53	50	47	0.2	***
<i>C. ciliata</i>	6	7	4	7	6	0.1	***	39	40	50	40	42	0.1	***
<i>D. insularis</i>	4	6	7	5	6	0.1	***	38	44	48	46	44	0.2	***
<i>L. filiformis</i>	5	4	4	6	5	0.1	***	38	40	44	49	43	0.4	***
<i>P. hallii</i>	6	5	4	6	5	0.2	**	36	39	49	45	43	0.3	***
<i>P. obtusum</i>	5	7	2	5	5	0.2	***	35	41	59	49	46	0.2	***
<i>P. unispicatum</i>	5	6	5	6	6	0.1	**	35	36	43	47	40	0.1	***
<i>R. repens</i>	5	6	6	5	6	0.1	***	38	41	41	39	40	0.1	***
<i>S. grisebachii</i>	6	6	3	6	5	0.1	***	38	39	62	43	42	0.2	***
<i>S. macrostachya</i>	5	6	3	5	5	0.1	***	33	37	54	40	41	0.3	***
<i>T. eragrostoides</i>	5	5	3	5	4	0.1	***	41	41	44	38	41	0.2	***
<i>T. muticus</i>	5	6	4	5	5	0.1	***	34	36	51	37	39	0.2	***
Seasonal means	5	6	4	5	5			37	40	49	43	42		
SEM	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.1				0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3			
Significant level	*	***	***	**				***	***	***	***			

w= winter; sp= spring; su= summer; f= fall; SEM = standard error of the mean; Sig= significance; \*(P<0.05); \*\*(P<0.01); \*\*\*(P<0.001).

a = intercept representing the portion of DM solubilized at the beginning of incubation (time 0).

b = portion of DM that is slowly degraded in the rumen.

c = rate constant of disappearance of fraction b.

EDDM = Effective degradability of DM assuming an out flow rate of 2% h<sup>-1</sup>

## Conclusions

Even though CP of grasses content was affected by climatic conditions, all grasses, in all seasons, had sufficient CP and MP content to meet the maintenance requirements (7.0%) of growing beef cattle; higher levels were observed in summer and autumn. The same pattern occurred in EDDM. Because of their higher CP and MP and mineral content, grasses such as *B. fasciculata*, *C. ciliata*, *P. hallii*, *P. obtusum*, *S. grisebachii*, *S. macrostachya* and *T. eragrostoides* can be considered of good nutritional quality. In this study, *Rhynchelytrum repens* had lower nutritional quality than *C. ciliaris*.

Table 3. Seasonal and annual means of the metabolizable energy (ME) content (Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup> DM) calculated from *in vitro* gas production and metabolizable protein (MP) content (g kg<sup>-1</sup> DM) for grasses

Grasses	ME, Mcal kg <sup>-1</sup> DM				M	SEM	Sig	MP, % DM				M	SEM	Sig
	Seasons							Seasons						
	w	sp	su	f				w	sp	su	f			
<i>B. curtipendula</i>	1.49	1.46	1.60	1.57	1.53	0.01	***	5.9	5.7	5.7	8.0	6.3	4	***
<i>B. trifida</i>	1.51	1.21	1.46	1.45	1.42	0.05	**	5.0	5.0	5.3	7.7	5.5	1	***
<i>B. fasciculata</i>	1.63		1.64	1.32	1.53	0.02	***	6.3		7.5	7.2	7.2	1	***
<i>C. ciliaris</i>	1.80	1.50	1.81	1.75	1.71	0.03	***	5.6	5.9	8.8	7.8	7.0	2	***
<i>C. ciliata</i>	1.55	1.65	1.54		1.58	0.15	NS	7.0	6.3	7.7		7.0	2	***
<i>D. insularis</i>	1.63		1.57	1.69	1.63	0.03	**	7.1		6.5	7.4	7.0	2	**
<i>L. filiformis</i>	1.86		1.59	1.88	1.78	0.02	***	7.1		7.4	7.8	7.4	2	*
<i>P. hallii</i>	1.56	1.37	1.62	1.50	1.51	0.03	***	6.4	4.7	8.6	7.5	6.6	5	***
<i>P. obtusum</i>	1.72	1.72	1.67	1.79	1.72	0.05	NS	7.3	7.6	7.2	8.2	7.6	2	**
<i>P. unispicatum</i>	1.59	1.40	1.81	1.63	1.61	0.13	***	5.0	5.3	8.2	7.9	6.6	4	***
<i>R. repens</i>	1.70	1.64	1.51	1.41	1.60	0.03	***	5.2	4.5	6.8	6.3	5.9	1	***
<i>S. grisebachii</i>	1.70	1.47	1.69		1.62	0.05	**	5.7	6.0	7.1		6.3	4	**
<i>S. macrostachya</i>	1.55	1.45	1.61	1.17	1.45	0.04	***	7.0	6.7	7.0	5.3	6.5	3	***
<i>T. eragrostoides</i>	1.61	1.69	1.84	1.48	1.66	0.02	***	7.2	5.4	7.8	6.9	7.4	2	**
<i>T. muticus</i>	1.48	1.31	1.66		1.48	0.02	***	5.3	5.2	7.4		5.9	2	***
Seasonal means	1.62	1.49	1.64	1.55	1.57			6.3	5.9	7.3	7.3	6.7		
SEM	0.02	0.03	0.10	0.03				3	2	3	2			
Significant level	***	***	**	***				***	***	***	***			

ME (Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup> DM) calculated as:  $(2.20 + 0.136 \text{ Gas Prod}_{24h} + 0.057 \text{ Crude Protein} + 0.0029 \text{ Crude Fat}^2)/4.184$ .

MP calculated as:  $\text{PDIA} = 1.11 * \text{PC} (1 - \text{Degradability}) * \text{degradability in small intestine}$ ;  $\text{PDIMN} = 0.64 * \text{PC} * (\text{degradability at 48h} - 0.10)$ ;  $\text{PDIME} = 0.093$

\* Organic matter fermented in the rumen

w= winter; sp= spring; su= summer; f= fall; SEM = standard error of the mean; Sig= significance; \*(P<0.05); \*\*(P<0.01); \*\*\* (P<0.001); NS not significant.

However, the ME content of the forages calculated from *in vitro* gas production may not meet the maintenance requirements of sheep. Although the MP content confirms the good quality of studied grasses, it was also observed, in most grasses, that CP content might be the limiting factor for the growth of rumen microbiota and productive purposes.

## References

- Andrés, S., Calleja, A., López, S., González, J.S., Rodríguez, P.L., Giráldez, F.J., 2005. Prediction of gas production kinetic parameters of forages by chemical composition and near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 123-124: 487-499.
- Blümmel, M., Becker, K., 1997. The degradability characteristics of fifty four roughages and roughage neutral-detergent fibres as described by *in vitro* gas production and their relationship to voluntary feed intake. *Br. J. Nutr.* 77:757-768.
- Bouquier, F., Theriez, M., et A. Brelurut. 1987. Recommendations alimentaires pour les brebis en lactation. In 199-211 pp. *Alimentation des ruminants: Revision des systemes et des tables de l'INRA. Bulletin Technique. N° 70* Decembre.
- Foroughbakhch, R., 1992. Establishment and Growth potential of fuel wood species in northeastern Mexico. *Agroforestry Sys.*, 19: 95-108.
- Dittberner, P.L., Olson, M.L., 1983. The plant information network (PIN) data base: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. *FWS/OBS-83/86*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. P. 786.
- Foroughbakhch, R., 1992. Establishment and Growth potential of fuel wood species in northeastern Mexico. *Agroforestry Sys.*, 19: 95-108.
- Ganskoop, D., Bohnert, R., 2001. Nutritional dynamics of 7 northern Great Basin grasses. *J. Range Manage.*, 54:640-647.

- García-Rodríguez, A., Mandaluniz, N., Flores, G., Oregui, L.M., 2005. A gas production technique as a tool to predict organic matter digestibility of grass and maize silage. *Anim Feed Sci. Technol.* 123-124:267-276.
- Getachew, G., DePeters, E.J., Robinson, P.H., Fadel, J.G., 2005. Use of an *in vitro* rumen gas production technique to evaluate microbial fermentation of ruminant feeds and its impact on fermentation products. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* (123-124: 547-559).
- González, C.L. and Everitt, J.H., 1982. Nutrient content of major food plants eaten by cattle in the Southern Texas Plains. *Journal of Range Management*, 35:733-738.
- Hanselka, C.W., 1988. Buffelgrass South Texas wonder grass, *Rangelands* 10: 279-281.
- Hatfield R.D., Ralph, J., Grabber, J. y Jung, H.J. 1993. Structural characterization of isolated corn lignins. In Abstract Keystone Symposia, the extracellular matrix of plants: Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology. Santa Fe, NM, p. A-319.
- Hendrickson, J.R., Briske, D.D. 1997. Axillary bud banks of two semiarid perennial grasses: occurrence, longevity and contribution to population persistence. *Oecologia*. 110: 584-591.
- Hovell, F. D., J. W. W. Ngambi, W. P. Barber, and D. J. Kyle. 1986. The voluntary intake of hay by sheep in relation to its degradability in the rumen as measured in nylon bags. *Anim. Prod.* 42: 111-118.
- Huston, J.E., Rector, B.S., Merrill, L.B., Engdahal, B.S., 1981. Nutritional value of range plants in the Edwards Plateau region of Texas. Report B-1375. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University System, Texas Agricultural Experimental Station., p. 16.
- INRA. 1987. Alimentation des ruminants: Revision des systemes et des tables de l'INRA. Bulletin Technique. N° 70 Decembre. 222 pp.
- Juárez-Reyes, A.S., Cerrillo-Soto, M. A., Gutiérrez-Ornelas, E., Romero-Treviño, E.M., Colín-Negrete, J., Bernal-Barragán, H. 2007. Estimation of the nutritional characteristics of tropical grasses from northeastern Mexico through conventional analyses and *in vitro* gas production. Submitted.
- Kalmbacher, R.S., 1983. Distribution of dry matter and chemical constituents in plant parts of four Florida native grasses. *Journal of Range Management*, 36:398-301.
- Martín-Rivera, M., Ibarra-Flores, F., 1989. Manejo de Pastizales. En: Veinte años de investigación pecuaria en el CIPEs. Proyecto No. P89017, Universidad de Sonora, México.
- McDonald, I., 1981. A revised model for the estimation of protein degradability in the rumen. *J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.)*, 96 : 251 - 252.
- Menke, K. H., Steingass, H. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Dev.* 28:7-55.
- Menke, K.H., Raab, I., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, H., Scheneider, H., 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feeding stuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *J. Agric. Sci. Camb.* 93:217-222.
- McDowell, L.R., 1985, *Nutrition of Grazing Ruminants in Warm Climates*. Academic Press, New York. p. 56.
- NRC., 2000. *Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals, Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle*. 7<sup>th</sup> edición. National Academy of Sciences. National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- NRC., 1975. *Nutrient Requirements of Sheep*. National Academy of Sciences. National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- Ørskov, E.R., McDonald, I., 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighed according to rate of passage. *J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.)*, 92: 499-503.
- Ørskov, E. R., and M. Ryle. 1990. *Energy Nutrition in Ruminants*. Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York. 252 p.
- Ramirez, R.G., 1999. Food habits and nutrition techniques of small ruminants: extensive management systems, *Small Rumin. Res.*, 34:215-220.
- Ramírez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H. and García-Dessommes G., 2002. Chemical composition and rumen digestion of forage from kleingrass (*Panicum coloratum*). *Interciencia*, 27 :705-709.
- Ramírez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H. and García-Dessommes, G. 2003a. Nutrient digestión of common bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* L.) Pers. growing in northeastern Mexico. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.*, 23: 93:102.
- Ramírez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H. and García-Dessommes, G. 2003b. Valor nutritivo y digestión ruminal del zacate buffel común (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.). *Pastos y Forrajes*. 26:149-158
- Ramírez, R.G., Haenlein, G.F.W., García-Castillo, C.G., Núñez-González, M.A., 2004. Protein, lignin and mineral contents and *in situ* dry matter digestibility of native Mexican grasses consumed by range goats. *Small Ruminant Research*. 52: 261-269.
- Ramírez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H., García-Dessommes, G., Morales-Rodríguez, R. 2005. Seasonal Trends in the Chemical Composition and Digestion of *Dichanthium annulatum* (Forssk.) Stapf. *J. Applied Anim. Res.* 28: 35-40.
- SAS, 2007. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, N.C. USA.



- Steel, R.G. D., Torrie, P.A., 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill New York pp. 377-444.
- Tuah AK, Okai DB, Ørskov ER, Kyle D, Shand W, Greenhalgh JFD, Obese F Y, Karikari PK (1996). *In sacco* dry matter degradability and *in vitro* gas production characteristics of some Ghanaian feeds. *Liv. Res. Rural Devel.* 8 (1). <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/> (Accessed August 5, 2007).
- Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis., 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74-3583.
- Van Soest, P.J., 1994. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ithaca, New York, US: Comstock Publishing Associates and Cornell University Press.
- Verite, R., Michalet-Doreao, B., Chapoutout, P., Peyraud, J.L. et Poncet, C. 1987. Revision du systemen des proteines digestibles dans l'intestin (PDI). In 19-34 pp. Alimentation des ruminants: Revision des systemes et des tables de l'INRA. Bulletin Technique. N° 70 Decembre.