



PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF LAND ENHANCING TECHNOLOGIES: AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR FOOD CROP FARMERS IN SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA.

BY

LUKE O. OLARINDE

Department of Agricultural Economic And Extension, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology,

P.M.B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria. E-mail:lolarinde@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

One of the most serious problems of the agrarian structure is the excessive reductions of agricultural productivity resulting from major degradation of soil fertility. According to Bamire and Manyong (2000), research efforts have revealed the need for intensification of production, greater use of land-saving technologies, limited expansion into marginal land and provision for increasing multi-use pressures on land. The increasing rate of population growth from period to period in Nigeria and the consequent pressures from competing demands for land over time have resulted in cultivatable land being drawn from its traditional agricultural uses, with resultant reduction in the land-man ratio. This assertion had earlier been made by Ruthenberg, 1980; Adesimi, 1988). It was discovered that this reduces the average size of farm land and invariably leads to soil fertility depletion through continuous or intensive cropping along with short, unfertilized fallow. Fallow and shifting cultivation practices were traditionally used to replenish most of the nutrients removed by crops. Fallow periods have however shortened from between 15 and 20 years to between 0 and 5 years on the average in many places in Nigeria (Bamire and Manyong 2003, citing Jibowo and Adepetu, 1985; OSSADEP, 1996). There is a dire need to therefore economically assess the performance of recently identified sustainable land enhancing technologies. Such technologies include the use of leguminous cover crops and appropriate fertilizer application. This will serve as a consistent lee-way for alternatives to maintain the potentials of the land resources under the various prevailing economic, social and political environment and land use system.

2. Methodology

Two sets of improved land management systems introduced by the Oyo State Agricultural Development program (ADP) to food crop farmers were investigated for their performances. These involve the adoption of land enhancing technologies that include (1) repeated leguminous cover crops and (2) appropriate fertilizer application. A participatory survey was conducted with one hundred and eighty (180) food crop farmers in five (5) differently scattered farming communities in the derived savanna agro ecological belt of south western Nigeria. The sampled farmers were identified along with the type of the two land enhancing farming methods practiced. Thereafter an economic analysis of each method was carried out and the socio-economic and demographic factors, farm specific and intrinsic risk factors affecting the farmers' productivity were determined.

3. Result And Discussion

Annual farm incomes are higher under the RLCC method. Though farm sizes are smaller in RLCC than in AFA, the higher incomes under the RLCC are expected because there is intensive use of the land area, as multiple and intercropping are good benefit for the farmers under this technology type. This is also where the use of repeated leguminous cover crops comes into play to enhance soil fertility and to check degradation which is the bane of production in the study area. Leaching is also minimal in the RLCC whereas in AFA method, heavy rainfall leads to inadequate fertilizer uptake by crops as most of the doses are wasted away. There seems to be clear difference in the off-farm income realizable from the two technologies under all the zones. However, the RLCC in Ogbomoso zone yields the higher off-farm income whereas ironically, the lowest off-farm income was also realized from the RLCC in Ibadan/Ibarapa zone. Farming experience are lower for the AFA method because adequate fertilizer application are consistent with level of educational attainment. Number of crop grown is higher under the RLCC method for reason of mixed or multiple cropping. The cash or annual crops grown include mango and cashew mostly in Ogbomoso zone, Oyo, Ibadan/Ibarapa and Ilesha zones are known for cocoa and oil palm while the two zone is known for oil palm and cashew. On the average, each of the zones produces at least two cash or annual crops. Farmers under the AFA method mostly patronize rural banks and cooperatives to finance the procurement of chemical fertilizer which is preferred on seasonal basis. Under the RLCC method, farmers like to patronize mostly the local contribution of saving method because there is always one crop or the other to grow at all seasons of the year. This method of finance is preferred because money for farming operation can be secured on short notice.

Results from the estimation of the efficiency of the different resources employed show that all the resources (variables) are not used to the point of economic efficiency. While land, pesticides under the RLCC and pesticides under the AFA methods are over utilized; labour, land, planting materials and implements are underutilized under the two methods. A pair wise t-test was conducted to ascertain the difference in the mean efficiency for the two methods of RLCC and AFA. The null hypothesis of no significant difference in the mean economic efficiency of the two methods was accepted at a minimum probability level of 5%. However, the test of no significant relationship between the two methods is rejected also at a minimum of 5%.

This implies that the seemingly equal mean economic efficiencies from the two technologies also confirm their relationship.

Under the RLCC, age, farm distance and farming experience are the factors which significantly affect resource productivity, while under the AFA method, farm distance and land size are significant in determining resource productivity.

4. Conclusion

The study shows that financial return realizable from both the RLCC and AFA are not the same. The combination of resources which produced these incomes possesses some similarities in their pattern of use in terms of efficiency of resource use. Resource and of course economic efficiency could be improved upon when the use of the RLCC is intensified and also when the use of AFA is timely. This however depends largely on the type of crop grown. In any case, the study confirms the near effectiveness of the functional ability of the hitherto world bank funded ADPs. This effectiveness can be made better with adequate incentives to both the agency and to the farming communities. This study concludes by making a reach out case to all small holder farmers who appear inaccessible by the ADPs' agents to incorporate them into the main scheme of better and improved farming and land enhancing technologies. This will enable a wider acceptability of these technologies and many more other ones that are suited for different farming communities

References

- Adesimi, A.A. 1988. Farm management analysis with perspective through the development process. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, pp 18- 19
- Bamire, A.S and V.M. Manyong, 2000. Intensification technologies and farm income levels among small holder farmers in the forest-savanna transition zone of Nigeria. Project 14: Impact, policy and systems analysis, annual report, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), pp 12-17
- Bamire, A.S. and V.M. Manyong, 2003. Profitability of intensification technologies among smallholder maize farmers in the forest-savanna transition zone of Nigeria. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 100(2-3): 111-118
- Jibowo, A.A. and J.A. Adepetun, 1985. Fertilizer use and soil testing in south western Nigeria: The case of Ogun, Ondo and Oyo States. Federal Department of Agricultural Land Resources, Ibadan, pp. 4-13
- OSSADEP (Osun State Agricultural Development Program), 1996. A Report of crop area and yield survey conducted by the planning, monitoring and evaluation department. OSSADEP, Osun State, Nigeria.
- Ruthenberg, H. 1980. Farming Systems in the tropics. 3rd ed. Oxford Univ. Press, London, UK p. 24

Table1: Resource use efficiencies for the two technologies and factors affecting farmers Productivity

Resources (variables)	Efficiency of technology type	
	RLCC	AFA
X ₁ (rent on land)	1.0075	0.9925
X ₂ (labour)	0.9016	0.9878
X ₃ (planting materials)	0.8995	0.9002
X ₄ (implements)	0.9913	0.9245
X ₅ (pesticides)	1.5770	1.8900
Factors (Variables)	Productivity significance of factor of technology type	
Z ₁ (Age)		
Z ₂ (farm distance)	S**	NS
Z ₃ (experience)	S*	S**
Z ₄ (farmer's perception about risk factors)	S**	NS
Z ₅ (land size)	NS	NS
	NS	S**

Source: Estimated from survey Data 2005

S = Significant; NS = Not Significant; *Sig. At 1%; **Sig. at

1. H0: Relationship between economic efficiency of RLCC and AFA not significant

2. H0: Differences in the means economic efficiencies of the two technology type is not significant