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Background

- Commercial and semi-commercial
- Free-range strategies
- Subsistence farming

Coffee-tea-cow system
- Individual management
- Calves and young cow
- Goats and sheep
- Forest and man-made areas
- Becoming more diverse and market oriented

Mixed Methodology Approach

- 3 week field course in Kibugu, Embu County
- Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) activities, such as livestock rankings and seasonal calendars, were incorporated into interviews (see photo)

Transect walks

Questionnaire (n=93)

Farmer Interviews (18 + Participatory tools)

Extension worker interviews (4)

Research Question

What are the livestock strategies in Kibugu, Kenya and what are the drivers behind these strategies?

Many farmers were concerned about decreasing land parcel size. Livestock inputs, especially feed, are becoming more expensive. Unseasonal drought has restricted feed resources and further constrained smallholders.

Despite these challenges, farmers prefer to raise resource-demanding cattle breeds such as Friesians and Ayrshires. However, many farmers struggle to secure consistent, high quality feed, and the cows are unable to reach their production potential in this environment.

Why do farmers do this?

Having cows, especially the high-yielding, exotic Friesian, is a sign of success and social status. This aspect seemed to outweigh the cows’ disadvantages, such as high costs of care and feeding. Thus, we found that societal norms played a powerful role in dictating farmers’ livestock strategies.

Why don’t farmers do this?

Many farmers in Kibugu associate goats with a lower social status. Farmers seemed to lack knowledge about goat husbandry techniques and were not familiar with the advantages of goats. Farmers may lack the financial capital needed to adopt or expand goat production. There is neither a well-established market for goat’s milk, nor any marketing cooperatives for farmers.

The average farmer in Kibugu has:
- 1 acre of land
- Monthly income <1000 KSH (<100 USD)
- 5 member household
- 2 cows
- 6 chickens
- 6-1 goats (for meat & insurance)

In comparison to cows, dairy goats have several advantages:
- Lower space and resource demand
- Better drought adaptation
- Richer milk
- Earlier, more frequent offspring to sell or keep
- Option to breed with rotating buck
- Cheaper to purchase
- Faster return on investment
- 2x to 4x’s higher milk price
- Emerging market, increasingly demanded by hospitals

Despite these advantages, we found only two dairy goat farmers in Kibugu. Thanks to them, we saw that a well-designed agroforestry system, incorporating leguminous fodder trees such as Calliandra with on-farm resources, can support strong dairy goat production.

Conclusion

Cows are of high significance in the tea/coffee-cow farming system of Kibugu. MILK, MANURE, SOCIAL RANK

However, the growing constraints faced by smallholders challenge the system’s long term sustainability. Dairy goat production in Kibugu has been hampered by internal and external constraints.

After interviewing some pioneering goat farmers we believe that goats have the potential to provide many benefits to farmers lacking in land and resources. To open up this avenue to interested farmers, a holistic approach, combining legislators, NGOs, researchers, and, most importantly, the farmers themselves, could be taken:

Farmers joining together into cooperatives

Increased extension funding to train more farmers

Research on sustainable, community-based goat breeding techniques

“ The cow is always there…” — Kibugu farmer
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