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Introduction
Within the project African Cassava Agronomy Initiative (ACAI), we asked our partners working at the base with farmers for their priority agronomy questions in cassava. One was cassava intercropping with maize, a common combination in southern Nigeria. There was a need to increase cassava as well as maize productivity. Thus, for the 2016 crop, we tested step wise intensification options to provide decision support for site specific situations. We assumed low planting densities of cassava and maize (10 000 and 20 000 plants ha−1 respectively) without fertilizer application as control. From here, we increased planting densities to 12 500 and 40 000 cassava and maize plants ha−1, followed by fertilizer application targeting the maize crop, and lastly fertilizer application targeting specifically cassava. These 4 plots were planted in farmers’ fields (Figure 1).

Preliminary conclusions
Yields for maize and cassava were very variable without apparent relationship between the fertilizer effects in F1 and F2 and the maize crop performance without fertilizer application (D) (Figures 3 and 5).
1) Increasing planting densities without fertilizer application (Figures 2 and 4)
• for maize, cob numbers increased in most cases, but not dramatically
• for cassava, only about 50% of the cases was root yield increased
  => not necessarily recommendable
  => careful check of costs and returns needed
2) Application of NPK and urea splits to the maize crop (Figures 2 and 4)
• for maize, cob numbers increased in most cases
• for cassava, yield also increased in most cases
  => NPK application at planting of maize is likely recommendable when
    maize plays an important role
  => the cassava targeted fertilizer regime will likely reduce maize yield
    and cannot be recommended in its current form

... and next steps
Site specific conditions - biophysical
• Use statistical modelling to understand which site specific conditions allow
  for intensification by increasing plant densities and/or application of
  fertilizers
Site specific conditions - economical
• Assess the availability of fertilizers
• Assess expected costs of additional inputs (especially fertilizers) and
  expected prices of the produce (especially maize cobs which are often sold
  fresh and are an important source of cash early in the cropping season)

Results - Maize

Results - Cassava

Figure 1. Layout of 3 intensification steps for cassava - maize intercropping

Figure 2. Cumulative frequency distributions for the effect of each intensification step
on cob numbers m−2: 1) increased in planting densities, 2) targeting fertilizer at maize at
high planting densities, 3) targeting fertilizer at cassava, 4) confirming effect of higher
planting density and targeting fertilizer at maize over the control (CP; low density, no
fertilizer); red lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

Figure 3. Boxplots of cob numbers m−2 for each treatment (left) and effect of fertilizer
application to maize (green circles) and cassava (orange circles) on cob numbers m−2
against cob numbers m−2 in the D plot (high density, no fertilizer).

Figure 4. Cumulative frequency distributions for the effect of each intensification step
on fresh cassava root yield (t ha−1); 1) increase in planting densities, 2) targeting
fertilizer at maize at high planting densities, 3) targeting fertilizer at cassava, 4)
confirming effect of higher planting density and targeting fertilizer at maize over the
control (CP; low density, no fertilizer); red lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

Figure 5. Boxplots of fresh cassava root yield (t ha−1) for each treatment (left) and
effect of fertilizer application to maize (green circles) and cassava (orange circles) on
fresh root yield (t ha−1) against cob numbers m−2 in the D plot (high density, no
fertilizer).
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